

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * * * *

FREDERICK ADKINS,)	3:12-cv-00581-LRH-VPC
Plaintiff,)	
vs.)	<u>ORDER</u>
RENEE BAKER, et al.,)	
Defendants.)	

Before the court is Plaintiff’s Objection to “Minutes of the Court” No. 50 (#51¹), which the court will treat as a motion to reconsider Magistrate’s Order #50. Also before the court is Defendants’ Response to Plaintiff’s Motion: Objection to Minutes of Court (Doc #50). Doc. #57.

The Court has conducted its review in this case, has fully considered Plaintiff’s motion, Defendants’ response, and other relevant matters of record pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b) (1), and concludes that the Magistrate Judge’s ruling was neither clearly erroneous nor contrary to law.

The Magistrate Judge’s Order (#50) will, therefore, be sustained and Plaintiff’s motion (#51) is denied.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 4th day of February, 2014.



LARRY R. HICKS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

¹Refers to this court’s docket number.