Williams v. Baca e	t al I	Doo). 7
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
8			
9	RICKELL WILLIAMS,)	
10	Petitioner,) 3:12-cv-00625-LRH-VPC	
11	vs.	ORDER	
12	ISIDRO BACA, et al.,))	
13	Respondents.) /	
14		<u>'</u>	
15	On January 28, 2013, the court dismissed this petition for a writ of habeas corpus without		
16	prejudice for lack of exhaustion because petitioner's fast-track appeal was pending before the Nevada		
17	Supreme Court (ECF #3).		
18	Petitioner has now filed an application to proceed <i>in forma pauperis</i> in this closed case (ECF#5).		
19	The court notes that the application is incomplete; the financial certificate has not been completed and		
20	signed by an authorized officer, and the inmate account statements are missing.		
21	Petitioner has also filed a motion for relief from order (ECF #6). While petitioner's motion is		
22	disrespectful of the court, it also appears to attempt to rebut caselaw and arguments that were not		
23	discussed or referenced in any way in this court's order. The court can discern no basis whatsoever to		
24	grant any reconsideration from its order dismissing this petition.		
25	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that petitioner's application to proceed in forma pauperis		
26	(ECF #5) and his motion for relief from order (ECF #6) are both DENIED .		
27	///		
28	///		

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that petitioner shall file no more documents in this closed case, and the Clerk of the Court shall not docket any documents received in the future. Siche DATED this 14th day of March, 2013. LARRY R. HICKS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE