

## 1 **II. Discussion**

Supplemental Rule of Federal Civil Procedure G governs civil forfeiture actions.
Supplemental Rule G(5)(a)(i)(B) requires a person asserting an interest in seized property to file
a claim "identify[ing] the claimant and stat[ing] the claimant's interest in the property." To state
an interest in the property, however, requires "more than conclusory or hearsay allegations of
some 'interest' in the forfeited property." *United States v.* \$100,348.00 in U.S. Currency, 354
F.3d 1110, 1119 (9th Cir. 2004) (quoting *Baker v. United States*, 722 F.2d 517, 519 (9th Cir.
1983)).

9 Under Supplemental Rule G(8)(c)(i)(A), a claim may be stricken for failure to comply
10 with Supplemental Rule G(5). Mangin's original claim that he "has a right or interest in said
11 \$367,320.00 in U.S. Currency" is the type of conclusory allegation generally struck under
12 Supplemental Rule G(8)(c)(i)(A). As such, the court grants the Government's Motion and strikes
13 Mangin's original Claim.

14 As to Mangin's Amended Claim, the Government maintains it is not a pleading, and thus it cannot be amended pursuant to Rule 15 of Federal Civil Procedure. Several factors, however, 15 16 suggest that claims are pleadings and can be amended under Rule 15. First, claims to seized 17 property, along with answers to the complaint, compose Supplemental Rule G(5), which is 18 entitled "Responsive Pleadings." Second, the Advisory Committee Notes to Supplemental Rule 19 G(5) state that the word "[c]laim' is used to describe this first *pleading* because of the statutory 20 references to claim and claimant." FED. R. CIV. P. G(5) advisory committee's note (emphasis 21 added). Finally, and perhaps most relevantly, the Advisory Committee Notes to Supplemental 22 Rule G(8) advise courts to strike claims for "failure to comply with the pleading requirements of 23 subdivision G(5)... only if satisfied that an opportunity should not be afforded to cure the 24 defects under Rule 15." FED. R. CIV. P. G(8) advisory committee's note; see also United States v. 25 \$91,110.00 in U.S. Currency, No. 2:12-CV-01112, 2013 WL 1189700, \*2 (D. Nev. Mar. 21, 26 2013). Thus, the court finds Mangin's Amended Claim is appropriate under Rule 15(a)(1)(A). 27 Therefore, the court shall deny the Government's Motion to Strike the Amended Claim. 28 ///

1	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Government's Motion to Strike Claim of Right
2	or Interest and Contesting of Forfeiture (#10) is GRANTED. Mangin's Claim of Right or Interest
3	and Contesting of Forfeiture (#9) is STRICKEN.
4	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Government's Motion to Strike Amended Claim of
5	Right or Interest and Contesting of Forfeiture (#26) is DENIED.
6	IT IS SO ORDERED.
7	DATED this 4th day of June, 2013.
8	Ouron
9	LARRY R. HICKS
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	3