24

25

26

27

in violation of the Constitution or laws or treaties of the United States." 28 U.S.C. § 2254(a). "[T]he essence of habeas corpus is an attack by a person in custody on the legality of that custody, and the traditional function of the writ is to secure release from illegal custody. Preiser v Rodriguez, 411 U.S. 475, 484 (1973). "[H]abeas corpus is not an appropriate or available federal remedy" when a petitioner is not "challeng[ing] the very fact or duration of confinement itself" or unconstitutional restraints that render the petitioner's otherwise lawful custody, unlawful. *Id.* at 494, 499.

As petitioner is not challenging a state detainer, this petition is dismissed without prejudice. If petitioner seeks to pursue a writ of habeas corpus, he shall file a new petition, on the correct form, in a new case with a new case number. He shall either include the full filing fee or file an application to proceed in forma pauperis, with all the required financial information.

It does not appear from the papers presented that a dismissal without prejudice will materially affect a later analysis of any timeliness issue with regard to a promptly filed new action.²

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that this petitioner's application to proceed in forma pauperis (ECF #1) is **DENIED** as moot.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk shall DETACH and FILE the petition for a writ of habeas corpus by a person attacking a state detainer (ECF #1-1).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this action is DISMISSED without prejudice to the filing of a new petition in a new action with a properly completed application form to proceed in forma pauperis.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a certificate of appealability is DENIED, as jurists of reason would not find the court's dismissal of this improperly commenced action without prejudice to be debatable or incorrect.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk shall send petitioner two copies each of an application form to proceed in forma pauperis for incarcerated persons and a noncapital Section 2254 habeas petition form, one copy of the instructions for each form, and a copy of the papers that he

² Petitioner appears to refer to a state case in which, according to its online docket, the Nevada Supreme Court dismissed his appeal on September 14, 2012 (Case No. 61444, remittitur issued October 11, 2012).

1	submitted in this action.
2	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk shall ENTER JUDGMENT accordingly and
3	close this case.
4	111-1
5	DATED this 23rd day of January, 2013.
6	
7	LARRY R. HICKS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15 16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	