

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF UNSECURED CREDITORS,)	3:13-cv-00010-RCJ-WGC
)	
Plaintiff,)	<u>MINUTES OF PROCEEDINGS</u>
vs.)	October 10, 2013
)	
GIACOMO MARINI, <i>et al.</i> ,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
_____)	

PRESENT: THE HONORABLE WILLIAM G. COBB, U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DEPUTY CLERK: Katie Lynn Ogden REPORTER: FTR

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF(S): Alan J. Stomel (Telephonically)

COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANTS: Cynthia Alexander, Kaaran Thomas, Timothy Rowe,

David Schwartz, Lisa Chapman (Telephonically)

MINUTES OF PROCEEDINGS: Status Conference

10:33 a.m. Court convenes.

The court holds today's hearing to discuss various matters relating to Plaintiff's Emergency Motion for Stay (Doc. # 220). Oppositions to Plaintiff's motion were filed on behalf of the Defendants including: Big Sky Venture Capital III, LLC and Big Sky Venture Capital IV, LLC, Doc. # 229; Noventi Ventures II, LP, Doc. #231; and the remaining individual defendants, Doc. # 236.

The court begins today's hearing **GRANTING** Defendant Noventi Ventures II, LP, Request for Judicial Notice in Support of Opposition to Plaintiff's Emergency Motion for Stay (Doc. # 233) and **DENYING** the individual Defendants' Request for Judicial Notice in Support of Opposition to Plaintiff's Emergency Motion for Stay (Doc. # 237).

The Court makes the observation that the characterization of Plaintiff's Emergency Motion for Stay appears to request a stay of the case, which is typically within the purview of Chief Judge Jones to address and consider. However, the context of the motion appears to suggest that Plaintiff's motion is either a request in stay of discovery or a modification of the scheduling order. The court advises the parties that it will treat Plaintiff's Motion for Stay as a request for stay of discovery; however, Chief Judge Jones may also want to address the matter of

