

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF UNSECURED CREDITORS,)	3:13-cv-00010-RCJ-WGC
)	
Plaintiff,)	<u>ORDER</u>
)	
vs.)	
)	
GIACOMO MARINI, et. al.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

Before the court is the Motion to Compel Discovery Responses (Doc. # 244)¹ filed by defendants Noventi Ventures II LP and Noventi, LLC (collectively, Noventi), and declaration of counsel Kaaran Thomas filed in support of the motion (Doc. # 245). The court granted Noventi's request for an order shortening time for a hearing on the motion, afforded Plaintiff an opportunity to file a written response to the motion, and set a hearing for November 15, 2013 at 10:00 a.m. (*See* Docs. # 249, # 250.) Plaintiff Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors (Committee) filed a response (Doc. # 254) and declaration of counsel Bryan Dillon in support (Doc. # 255). The court conducted the hearing on November 15, 2013, and issues the instant order.

///

¹ Refers to court's docket number.

1 mindful of the predicament the Committee's counsel is in after Mr. Maynard's departure, and was
2 advised at the hearing of personal matters impacting the firm's ability to manage this production
3 of documents, and that the firm intends to file a motion to withdraw as counsel. As a result, the
4 court is granting Noventi's motion in part and denying it in part.
5

6 First, the motion to compel the production of documents responsive to Noventi's second
7 set of requests for documents is granted such that the Committee is ordered to produce all
8 responsive documents and any corresponding privilege log on or before November 22, 2013.
9

10 Second, Noventi's motion is denied insofar as it seeks an order that any asserted privilege
11 is waived as a result of the failure to serve a privilege log with its responses. The court has
12 considered the circumstances of this case in connection with the factors outlined by the Ninth
13 Circuit in *Burlington Northern & Santa Fe Ry. Co. v. U.S. Dist. Ct. for Dist. of Mont.*, 408 F.3d
14 1142, 1149 (9th Cir. 2005). Applying these factors in the context of a "holistic reasonableness"
15 analysis, the court concludes they weigh against finding a waiver.
16

17 The first factor, the ability of Noventi and the court to evaluate whether the documents
18 are privileged, weighs in favor of finding a waiver because the objections provide no information
19 that would allow Noventi or the court to evaluate whether any document is privileged. In fact, it
20 is not clear whether any document is actually being withheld on the basis of privilege. The
21 second factor, the timeliness of the responses, weighs against a finding of waiver because the
22 responses were timely served. The third factor, the magnitude of the production, weighs against a
23 finding of waiver because this case appears to be fairly document intensive (the Committee
24 references some 150,000 documents in its responsive brief). Finally, other circumstances present
25 weigh against a finding of waiver. While a privilege log should ideally be produced along with
26 the discovery responses, the Committee's counsel did represent to Noventi's counsel the situation
27
28

1 they were in with the departure of Mr. Maynard and personal issues of partners in the firm, and
2 that they were working on a review of the documents and a privilege log. It appears as though it
3 was simply not realistic for the Committee to produce a privilege log along with its responses
4 under these circumstances where they had not yet had a chance to review all of the potentially
5 responsive documents. The Committee is reminded that if it intends to withhold any documents
6 from production on the grounds that they are privileged, it must produce a privilege log
7 concurrently with its production.
8

9
10 Third, Noventi's request for sanctions is denied. The court considers the Committee's
11 decision to unilaterally decide that production of the documents ninety days after their responses
12 and following the discovery deadline, dispositive motion deadline and mediation as cavalier.
13 However, in light of the circumstances presented by Mr. Maynard's departure as well as the
14 personal issues of members of the firm described above, the court finds the imposition of
15 sanctions is not warranted.
16

17 Finally, the discovery deadline is vacated *only* with respect to Noventi's second request
18 for production of documents that is the subject of this motion. Otherwise, all other discovery will
19 close as scheduled on November 22, 2013. The dispositive motion deadline of December 20,
20 2013, is likewise vacated. The court will conduct a status conference on December 10, 2013 at
21 10:00 a.m., and will discuss rescheduling the dispositive motion deadline at that time.
22

23 **III. CONCLUSION**

24 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT** Noventi's motion (Doc. # 244) is **GRANTED IN**
25 **PART AND DENIED IN PART**, as follows:
26
27
28

1 (1) The motion is **GRANTED** such that the Committee is required to produce documents
2 responsive to Noventi's second set of requests for production of documents, along with any
3 corresponding privilege log, on or before **November 22, 2013**;

4
5 (2) The motion is **DENIED** insofar as it seeks an order that the Committee waived any
6 assertion of privilege by failing to serve a privilege log with its responses;

7 (3) The motion is **DENIED** insofar as it seeks the imposition of sanctions.

8 **IF IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED THAT:**

9
10 (1) The discovery deadline is **VACATED** *only* with respect to Noventi's second request
11 for production of documents. All other discovery will close as scheduled on November 22, 2013;

12 (2) The dispositive motion deadline of December 20, 2013, is **VACATED**;

13 (3) A status conference will be held on **December 10, 2013, at 10:00 a.m.**, and the court
14 will discuss rescheduling the dispositive motion deadline at that time.

15
16 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

17 **DATED: November 18, 2013.**

18
19 

20 **WILLIAM G. COBB**
21 **UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE**
22
23
24
25
26
27
28