II. Discussion

Local Rule IB 3-1 authorizes a district judge to reconsider any pretrial matter referred to a magistrate judge pursuant to LR IB 1-3 where it has been shown that the magistrate judge's order is clearly erroneous or contrary to law. In his objection, Burke contends that the magistrate judge's order granting Reddaway's motion to quash was clearly erroneous because Reddaway failed to timely move to quash, and therefore waived any and all objections to the subpoena. Burke also contends that the magistrate judge's order was clearly erroneous because the order did not define why the subpoenaed records were irrelevant to the present action.

The court has reviewed the documents and pleadings on file in this matter and finds that Burke has failed to show that the magistrate judge's order is either contrary to law or clearly erroneous. First, the court notes that Burke simply rehashes the arguments outlined in his opposition to Reddaway's motion to quash. *See* Doc. #57. Second, Burke fails to introduce any new evidence or identify any legal or factual error in the magistrate judge's order. The court notes that the magistrate judge heard argument on the motion to quash and, at the hearing, made several specific rulings that addressed both Burke's timeliness challenge and the relevancy of the discovery request. *See* Doc. #71. Thus, the magistrate judge took Burke's arguments into consideration before deciding the motion. Accordingly, the court shall affirm the magistrate judge's order.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that plaintiff's objection (Doc. #77) is OVERRULED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the magistrate judge's order granting defendant's motion to quash (Doc. #71) is AFFIRMED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 10th day of June, 2014.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

ishe