1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
8		
9		
10		
11	FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORP.,	
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v. )	3:13-cv-00084-RCJ-VPC
14	) JAMES MICHAEL JACOBS et al., )	ORDER
15	Defendants.	
16	) )	
17	This case arises out of the failure of a bank due to alleged malfeasance by its directors and	
18	officers in approving bad loans. Pending before the Court are a Motion in Limine (ECF No. 199)	
19	and a Motion to Voir Dire Prospective Jurors (ECF No. 200). Because the parties have entered into	
20	binding settlement agreements on the record before the Magistrate Judge, the Court denies the	
21	present motions as moot.	
22	CONCLUSION	
23	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Motion in Limine (ECF No. 199) and the Motion to Voir	
24	Dire Prospective Jurors (ECF No. 200) are DENIED as moot.	
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
26	Dated: This 19th day of February, 2015.	
27	$\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{A})$	
28	ROBERT C. JONES United States District Judge	
		Dockata Justia ann

Dockets.Justia.com