

| 1  | an unpublished decision, have held that personal service of a subpoena duces tecum is                 |
|----|---|
| 2  | required. See Chima v. U.S. Department of Defense, 23 Fed.Appx. 721, 2001 WL 1480640, at              |
| 3  | *2 (9th Cir. Dec. 14, 2001); see also Newell v. County of San Diego, 2013 WL 4774767, at *2-3         |
| 4  | (S.D. Cal. Sept. 5, 2013); Prescott v. County of Stanislaus, 2012 WL 10617, at *3 (E.D. Cal. Jan.     |
| 5  | 3, 2012).   |
| 6  | Plaintiff's motion for the issuance of two subpoenas is (Doc. $#$ 60) is <b><u>GRANTED</u></b> . The  |
| 7  | Clerk is directed to <b>ISSUE</b> two blank subpoenas to Plaintiff. Plaintiff is then responsible for |
| 8  | filling out the subpoenas and properly serving them in accordance with Rule 45.                       |
| 9  | IT IS SO ORDERED.   |
| 10 |   |
| 11 | DATED: February 27, 2014.   |
| 12 | WILLIAM G. COBB   |
| 13 | UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  |
| 14 |   |
| 15 |   |
| 16 |   |
| 17 |   |
| 18 |   |
| 19 |   |
| 20 |   |
| 21 |   |
| 22 |   |
| 23 |   |
| 24 |   |
| 25 |   |
| 26 |   |
| 27 |   |
| 28 | 2   |
|    |   |