

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
10 DISTRICT OF NEVADA  
11

12 ISSAC AVENDANO and ROLANDO ) 3:13-cv-00168-HDM-VPC  
13 DUEÑAS, )  
14 Plaintiffs, ) ORDER  
15 vs. )  
16 SECURITY CONSULTANTS GROUP, INC., )  
17 et al., )  
18 Defendants. )  
\_\_\_\_\_ )


19 On October 14, 2015, plaintiffs filed a motion to seal exhibits  
20 to their responses in opposition to the motions for summary judgment  
21 (#238). The sole reason plaintiffs provided for sealing Exhibit Nos.  
22 30, 31, and 45 is that they were "designated as confidential by either  
23 the Union (Ex. 45) or Corporate Defendants (Exs. 30-31)." #238 at 3.

24 Defendants shall have until October 21, 2015, to file a response  
25 to plaintiffs' motion to seal that sets out the compelling reasons  
26 justifying the sealing of the exhibits, as well as whether the  
27 exhibits can be redacted as opposed to sealed in their entirety. The  
28 exhibits will remain sealed at this time. Failure to file a response

1 no later than October 21, 2015, may result in an order unsealing the  
2 exhibits.

3 IT IS SO ORDERED.

4 DATED: This 15th day of October, 2015.

5  
6 

7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28