


17, 2013, ECF No. 2 in Case No. 3:13-cv-193 (emphasis added)). An otherwise identical notice was sent to Plaintiff in the present case, indicating that he should use Case No. 3:13-cv-00181 for all future papers in the present case. (*See* Notice, Apr. 12, 2013, ECF No. 2). The notices were clear, and the Court therefore finds no excusable neglect.

CONCLUSION

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Motion for Relief from Order (ECF No. 7), the motion to File Second Amended Complaint (ECF No. 8), and the Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings (ECF No. 9) are DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this 29th day of September, 2014.



ROBERT C. JONES
United States District Judge