

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

WILLIAM ENGLAND,
Plaintiff,

v.

SHERYL FOSTER, *et al.*,
Defendants.

3:13-cv-00188-RCJ-VPC

MINUTES OF THE COURT

March 23, 2015

PRESENT: THE HONORABLE VALERIE P. COOKE, U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DEPUTY CLERK: LISA MANN REPORTER: NONE APPEARING

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF(S): NONE APPEARING

COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT(S): NONE APPEARING

MINUTE ORDER IN CHAMBERS:

Before the court is plaintiff’s motion to attach a certificate of service to his opposition to defendants’ summary judgment motion (#57), and also plaintiff’s motion for summary judgment (#46).

First, as the motion to attach (#57) is unopposed, the motion is **GRANTED**. The court shall consider plaintiff’s opposition to defendants’ motion. Second, the court has reviewed plaintiff’s summary judgment motion and observes that the brief is excessive in length. Although approximately one and one-half pages are blank, the brief nevertheless exceeds the permissible limit by over ten pages. Local Rule 7-4 provides that, “[u]nless otherwise ordered by the Court, pretrial . . . briefs and points and authorities . . . shall be limited to thirty (30) pages including the motion but excluding exhibits.”

The court takes judicial notice that plaintiff, although a *pro se* party, is not an inexperienced litigant in federal court. Accordingly, the court finds no basis for excusing his compliance with the Local Rules. Because plaintiff failed to request leave to submit a lengthier brief, the motion and brief (#46) are **STRICKEN**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

LANCE S. WILSON, CLERK

By: /s/
Deputy Clerk