

1	U.S. 473, 483-84 (2000). "The petitioner must demonstrate that reasonable jurists would find the
2	district court's assessment of the constitutional claims debatable or wrong." Id. (quoting Slack, 529
3	U.S. at 484). In order to meet this threshold inquiry, the petitioner has the burden of demonstrating
4	that the issues are debatable among jurists of reason; that a court could resolve the issues differently;
5	or that the questions are adequate to deserve encouragement to proceed further. Id. No reasonable
6	jurist could conclude that this Court's order dismissing this action without prejudice was erroneous.
7	Petitioner is not entitled to a certificate of appealability.
8	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that petitioner's motion for a certificate of appealability
9	(ECF No. 22) is DENIED .
10	Dated: This 26th day of August, 2014.
11	\mathcal{D}
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
14	\mathbf{V}
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	2