

1
2
3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

5 * * *

6 KYLE J. RODNEY,

Case No. 3:13-cv-00323-RCJ

7 Petitioner,

v.

ORDER

8 WILLIAM GITTERE, et al.,


9 Respondents.
10
11

12 Both parties have filed motions asking to file exhibits under seal. ECF Nos. 82/89.¹ The
13 exhibits consist of medical records for Ralph Monko, the victim in this case. ECF Nos. 83/90.

14 The court agrees that protecting Mr. Monko's privacy is a compelling reason to keep the
15 exhibits under seal. In addition, neither party responded either motion. *See* Local Rule, LSR 7-
16 2(d) ("The failure of an opposing party to file points and authorities in response to any motion ...
17 constitutes a consent to the granting of the motion.")

18 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that the pending motions asking to file exhibits under
19 seal (ECF Nos. 82/89) are GRANTED. The exhibits filed at ECF No. 83 and ECF No. 90 shall
20 remain under seal. To the extent that respondents' motion asks to file exhibits *in camera*, it is
21 denied as moot.

22 DATED THIS 23rd day of September, 2022.

23
24
25 
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

26 ¹ Respondents' motion also asks that the exhibits to be filed *in camera*, but it appears that petitioner's
27 counsel already have copies of the exhibits. See ECF No. 97 at 5 (referencing exhibits filed at ECF No.
28 90).