Williams v. Baker et al Doc. 48 1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT **DISTRICT OF NEVADA** 6 7 MICHAEL LEON WILLIAMS, 8 Petitioner, 9 3:13-cv-334-RCJ-WGC ٧. RENEE BAKER et al., **ORDER** 10 11 Respondents. 12 13 DISCUSSION On November 20, 2014, Petitioner filed a motion to find moot his motion for a ten day 14 enlargement of time to file a reply. (ECF No. 40). The Court grants this motion and finds his 15 16 motion for an enlargement of time moot. On November 24, 2014, Petitioner filed a motion to clarify mailing discrepancies and 17 for the Court to accept his reply. (ECF No. 41). The Court grants this motion and 18 19 acknowledges the filing of Plaintiff's reply to answer (ECF No. 39). 20 On December 2, 2014, Plaintiff filed a motion for an order directing the court clerk to return a file-stamped copy of his reply to answer filed on November 20, 2014. (ECF No. 42). 21 The Court grants Plaintiff's motion and directs the Clerk of the Court to send Plaintiff a copy 22 23 of his reply to answer (ECF No. 39). 24 II. CONCLUSION 25 For the foregoing reasons, IT IS ORDERED that the motion to find the motion for enlargement of time moot (ECF No. 40) is granted. 26 27 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the motion to clarify mailing discrepancies (ECF No. 28 41) is granted. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the motion to have the court clerk send a file-stamped

copy of Petitioner's reply to answer (ECF No. 42) is granted.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court shall send Petitioner a copy of his reply to answer (ECF No. 39).

Dated: June 1, 2015.

United States District Judge