Cox v. Baker et al 1 2 3 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 6 MICHAEL STEVE COX, 7 Petitioner, 8 3:13-cv-00399-RCJ-WGC VS. 9 WARDEN BAKER et al., **ORDER** 10 Respondent. 11 12 Petitioner Michael Cox has filed a petition for habeas corpus relief pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 13 § 2254. He has also filed various motions, including a motion for a temporary restraining order and a preliminary injunction, several motions for appointment of counsel, and several motions 14 15 demanding miscellaneous relief. For the most part, neither the Petition nor any of the motions are legible. To the extent they are legible, they are mostly unintelligible. Furthermore, the public 16 17 records indicate that Petitioner filed the present Petition before adjudication in the state courts. 18 The Nevada Supreme Court issued the remittitur after affirming denial of a parallel state court 19 post-conviction petition on March 11, 2014. Assuming for the sake of argument that the Court 20 now has jurisdiction to entertain the present Petition on the merits, just as if it had initially 21 granted a stay and abeyance, the Court has reviewed the state court decision and found that the 22 Court made no error or unreasonable application of clearly established federal law and did not 23 unreasonably determine any facts. See generally Cox v. State, No. 63585 (Nev. Feb. 12, 2014) (Order of Affirmance). 24 25

Doc. 15

1	CONCLUSION
2	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that all pending motions are DENIED.
3	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Petition is DENIED.
4	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk shall enter judgment and close the case.
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.
6	Dated this 20 th day of March, 2014.
7	ROBERY L. JONES
8	United States District Judge
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	