¹ Refers to court's docket number.

Dockets.Justia.com

failing to follow procedures for processing of emergency grievances, he should either refer to those procedures that pertain to <u>all</u> institutions – such as Administrative Regulations (ARs) – or those regulations specific to one institution – such as Operational Procedures (OPs) pertaining to SDCC. Reliance on an operational procedure at another institution is not controlling.

Plaintiff states, without citation to the record, that "Plaintiff was blocked during the discovery from obtaining relevant SDCC Post Orders pursuant to AR 401." (ECF No. 250 at 2.) The issue here is an operational procedure, not a post order or administrative regulation.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, the court has now noted that the declaration of SDCC Associate Warden Minor Adams states that "SDCC <u>Operational Procedure</u> 740.03(7) outlines how emergency grievances are resolved at SDCC." (ECF No. 234-7 at 3, ¶ 8.) Inasmuch as the procedure for resolving at emergency grievances at SDCC is central to this case, and because Associate Warden Adams' declaration relies on this operational procedure, the document ought to be before the court.

Plaintiff's motion for reconsideration (ECF No. 250) is **GRANTED.** Defendant shall file <u>under seal</u> the SDCC Operational Procedure 740.03(7) which was in effect on September 30, 2011 marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit R referencing Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment (ECF No. 222) and this Order and shall make SDCC Operational Procedure 740.03(7) available for Plaintiff's review at ESP.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: October 28, 2015.

WILLIAM G. COBB

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE