1		
2		
3		
4	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
5	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
6	* * *	
7	TODD ROBBEN,	Case Nos. 3:13-cv-0438-RFB-VPC
8	Plaintiff,	3:15-cv-0529-RFB-VPC 3:15-cv-0530-RFB-VPC
9	V.	ORDER
10	CARSON CITY, NEVADA;	
11	DEPARTMENT OF ALTERNATIVE SENTENCING et al; DAS CHIEF RORY	
12	PLANETA in his individual and official	
13	capacities, DAS ASSISTANT CHIEF KATE SUMMERS in her individual and official	
14	capacities, DAS OFFICER MARTIN HALE in his individual and official capacities, DAS	
15	DOES 1-10 in their individual and official	
16	capacities, CARSON CITY JUDGE JOHN TATRO in his individual and official	
17	capacities, CARSON CITY OFFICIAL DISTRICT ATTORNEY NEIL	
18	ROMBARDO in his individual and official	
19	capacities, CARSON CITY DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY TRAVIS LUCIA in	
20	his individual and official capacities, CARSON CITY JAILHOUSE DOCTOR	
21	JOSEPH E. MCELLISTREM PHD in his	
22	individual and official capacities, Defendants.	
23		
24	Pending before the Court are two Motio	ns to Stay Proceedings filed by Pro Se Plaintiff
25	Todd Robben. In his motions, Robben states	that criminal charges, which he disputes, were
26	recently filed against him in California state court. Robben has filed several motions in connection	
27	with these criminal proceedings, which are currently pending, and states that he has immediate	
28	court hearings scheduled. Robben also states that his car has been impounded.	

1	District courts have discretion to stay the proceedings before them in light of their inherent	
2	power to control their own dockets and promote judicial economy. Lockyer v. Mirant Corp., 398	
-	F.3d 1098, 1110 (9th Cir. 2005); <u>Landis v. North Am. Co.</u> , 299 U.S. 248, 255 (1936). "[A] trial	
4	court may, with propriety, find it is efficient for its own docket and the fairest course for the parties	
5	to enter a stay of an action before it, pending resolution of independent proceedings which bear	
6	upon the case. This rule applies whether the separate proceedings are judicial, administrative, or	
7	arbitral in character" <u>Yong v. I.N.S.</u> , 208 F.3d 1116, 1119-20 (9th Cir. 2000) (quoting <u>Leyva</u>	
8	v. Certified Grocers of Cal., Ltd., 593 F.2d 857, 863 (9th Cir. 1979)). However, a stay should	
9	generally be for a limited duration, have a defined end point, and should not be granted "if there	
10	is even a fair possibility" that the stay will harm another party, unless the moving party makes a	
10		
	showing of hardship or inequity. <u>Dependable Highway Express, Inc. v. Navigators Ins. Co.</u> , 498	
12	F.3d 1059, 1066 (9th Cir. 2007) (quoting <u>Landis</u> , 299 U.S. at 255).	
13	The Court finds that Robben has demonstrated good cause for a limited stay of his civil	
14	cases pending before this Court. Robben's criminal proceedings will make it extremely difficult	
15	for him to effectively participate in his civil cases, in which he must meet filing and discovery	
16	deadlines. Therefore, and in light of Robben's pro se status, the Court exercises its discretion to	
17	issue a stay of 60 days of Robben's civil actions pending before it.	
18	<u>ORDER</u>	
19	Accordingly,	
20	IT IS ORDERED that Plaintiff Todd Robben's Motions to Stay Proceedings are	
21	GRANTED. These are the following docket numbers:	
22	• ECF Nos. 120 and 127 in case no. 3:13-cv-0438-RFB-VPC;	
23	• ECF Nos. 27 and 32 in case no. 3:15-cv-0529-RFB-VPC; and	
24	• ECF Nos. 33 and 38 in case no. 3:15-cv-0530-RFB-VPC.	
25	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that these cases are STAYED for 60 days. All pending	
26	deadlines are suspended during the stay.	
27	///	
28	///	
	- 2 -	
	-	

1	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff Todd Robben shall file a status report on or	
2	before June 20, 2016 informing the Court of the status of his criminal proceedings. The Court	
3	shall then issue an Order directing the parties how these cases shall proceed.	
4		
5	DATED: <u>April 19, 2016</u> .	
6	A.	
7	RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II	
8	United States District Judge	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	- 3 -	