

1	On July 14, 2014, this Court received a letter from petitioner. (ECF No. 23). The Court
2	notes that the letter is not accompanied by a certificate of service stating that a copy of the document
3	was served on the opposing party's counsel. In the order filed August 22, 2013, this Court
4	specifically directed that:
5	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, henceforth, petitioner shall serve upon the Attorney General of the State of Nevada a copy of every
6	pleading, motion, or other document he submits for consideration by the Court. Petitioner shall include with the original paper submitted
7	for filing a certificate stating the date that a true and correct copy of the document was mailed to the Attorney General. The Court may
8	disregard any paper that does not include a certificate of service. After respondents appear in this action, petitioner shall make such service
9	upon the particular Deputy Attorney General assigned to the case.
10	(ECF No. 3, at p. 2). Petitioner's letter is an inappropriate ex parte communication and is stricken
11	from the record. A request for court action must be styled as a motion, not a letter, and all motions
12	must be served on the opposing party. See Rules 5 & 7 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. To
13	the extent that petitioner's letter seeks explanation of the procedural posture of this case, this order
14	provides such explanation, <i>supra</i> . In the interests of justice, the Court will grant petitioner an
15	additional thirty days in which to file a reply to respondents' answer.
16	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that petitioner's <i>ex parte</i> letter (ECF No. 23) is
17	STRICKEN from the record.
18	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that petitioner is GRANTED thirty (30) days from the date
19	of entry of this order in which to file his reply, if any, to the answer.
20	Dated this 21 st day of July, 2014.
21	Howard DMEKiller
22	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
23	
24	
25	
26	2