

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

KARL P. HAPP, ) 3:13-cv-00467-MMD-WGC
Plaintiff, ) MINUTES OF PROCEEDINGS
vs. ) June 10, 2015
RENO DISPOSAL CO., et al., )
Defendants. )

PRESENT: THE HONORABLE WILLIAM G. COBB, U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DEPUTY CLERK: Katie Lynn Ogden REPORTER: FTR

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF: Karl P. Happ, Pro Se (Telephonically)

COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANTS: Elia M. DeLuca, Esq. (Telephonically)

MINUTES OF PROCEEDINGS: Motion Hearing

10:01 a.m. Court convenes.

The court convenes to address the parties' "Unopposed Emergency Motion to Stay Discovery and Motion Deadlines Pending the Court's Ruling on Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Pursuant to LR 7-5, FRCP Rules 16 and 26" (Doc. # 69).

The court discusses with Plaintiff and Defendants' counsel the rationale for a discovery stay. Plaintiff, now proceeding pro se, indicates that certain written discovery responses are ready to be produced to the Defendants; however, Plaintiff explains this has not occurred in light of the recent withdrawal of his attorney (Doc. # 73). Plaintiff, who now resides in the Seattle area, has been unsuccessful searching for replacement counsel. Plaintiff expresses no objection to the request for stay of discovery at this time, as he states it would be difficult and expensive for him to participate in discovery.

Counsel for Defendants states that Defendants' Motion to Dismiss (Doc. # 52) remains outstanding. Counsel argues the nature and scope of any additional discovery which might have to be undertaken would be difficult to frame at this time because the decision as to the motion to dismiss will likely impact the scope of the case. Counsel contends that the amended complaint (Doc. # 49) which was filed after the court granted the Defendants' initial motion to dismiss (Doc. # 12)

