Pinder v. Baker et al Doc. 55

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

VINCENT H. PINDER,	)	3:13-cv-00572-MMD-WGC
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	**MINUTES OF THE COURT**
vs.	)	
	)	March 17, 2015
RENEE BAKER, et al.,	)	
	)	
Defendants	)	
	)	

PRESENT: THE HONORABLE WILLIAM G. COBB, U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DEPUTY CLERK: KATIE LYNN OGDEN REPORTER: NONE APPEARING

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF(S): NONE APPEARING

COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT(S): NONE APPEARING

MINUTE ORDER IN CHAMBERS:

Before the court is Plaintiff's Motion to Re-Hear Plaintiff's Motion to Extend Prison [Copy] Work Limit." (Doc. # 53.) Plaintiff seeks the court to reconsider its prior order extending Plaintiff's copy work limit by only $10.00. (Doc. # 51). Plaintiff instead seeks the court to grant a $50.00 extension of his copy work limit. (Doc. # 53.)

Defendants oppose Plaintiff's motion. (Doc. # 54.) Defendants state:

> "In his motion, Plaintiff does not state that he has used up the $10.00 copy work extension previously granted to him by the court. In fact, Ely State Prison law library records show that Plaintiff's copy work limit is only at $78.40 out of an available $110. (Exhibit A). It appears then that Plaintiff has not depleted the original $100 allotted by AR 722.12, let alone the $10.00 extension granted by the court. Plaintiff has not shown that another extension is needed."

(*Id*., at 2.)

The court does not perceive a need at this time to further extend Plaintiff's copy work limit. Plaintiff's motion (Doc. # 53) is **DENIED.**

IT IS SO ORDERED.

LANCE S. WILSON, CLERK

By: /s/
Deputy Clerk

Dockets.Justia.com