1							
2							
3							
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						
5	DISTRICT OF NEVADA						
6	* * *						
7	SIERRA DEVELOPMENT CO. dba CLUB CAL NEVA, Case No. 3:13-CV-0602-RCJ (VPC)						
8	Plaintiff,						
9	V.						
10	V. CHARTWELL ADVISORY GROUP, LTD.,						
11	Defendant.						
12							
13	AND RELATED CLAIMS.						
14							
15	On April 1, 2014, the court held a case management conference in this action (#165).						
16	The court noted that there are numerous dispositive motions filed in this action (#s 108, 109,						
17	112, 113, 124, 125, 126, 130, 131, 135, 136, 137, 138, and 154), as well as a joint motion to stay						
18	discovery pending resolution of motions to dismiss and to sever (#162). The court stayed						
19 20	discovery pending a ruling on counterclaim defendants' motion to stay discovery (#165).						
20	At the case management conference, the court also noted that many parties reported an						
21	interest in a settlement conference and ordered counsel for Chartwell Advisory Group, Ltd. to						
22 23	canvas counsel for all parties and report whether parties are interested in an early settlement						
23 24	conference. <i>Id.</i> The report, filed May 1, 2014, indicates that the following parties are interested						
24 25	in participating in a settlement conference:						
23 26	Party represented by Calvin Dunlap, Esq. and Joshua Wolson, Esq.:						
20 27	Chartwell Advisory Group, Ltd.						
27							
20							

1	Parties represented by Matthew C. Addison, Esq.:						
2	Eldorado Resorts LLC						
3	Circus and Eldorado Joint Venture, LLC (Silver Legacy)						
4	Parties represented by Leslie Bryan Hart, Esq.:						
5	Sparks Nugget, Inc.						
6	Cashell Entities:						
7	Cashell Enterprises, LLC						
8	Winners Hotel and Casino, Inc.						
9	Topaz Lodge, Inc.						
10	Parties represented by Joshua J. Hicks, Esq.:						
11	Golden Nugget, Inc.						
12	GNLV Corp.						
13	Golden Nugget Hotels and Casinos						
14	Peppermill, Inc.						
15	Peppermill Hotel & Casino, Inc.						
16	Wendover Casinos, Inc.						
17	Peppermill Casinos, Inc.						
18	Parties represented by Michael N. Feder, Esq.						
19	Caesars Parties:						
20	3535 LV Corp.						
21	Caesar's Entertainment Golf, Inc.						
22	Caesars Entertainment Corp.						
23	Caesars Entertainment Operating Co., Inc.						
24	Desert Palace, Inc.						
25	FHR Corp.						
26	Harrah's Imperial Palace Corp.						
27	Harrah's Las Vegas LLC						
28	Harrah's Laughlin LLC						

1	Harvey's Tahoe Management Co., Inc.							
2	Parball Corp.							
3	Rio Development Co., Inc.							
4	Rio Properties, LLC							
5	Mesquite Parties:							
6	Mesquite Gaming, LLC							
7	RBG, LLC							
8	B&BB, Inc.							
9	Casablanca Resorts, LLC							
10	Affinity Gaming, Inc.							
11	Riverside Resort and Hotel Casino, Inc.							
12	Parties represented by Todd L. Bice, Esq. :							
13	Station Casinos LLC							
14	MGM Entities:							
15	Edgewater Hotel Corp.							
16	Goldstrike Investments, Inc.							
17	MGM Resorts International							
18	MSE Investments, Inc.							
19	New Castle Corp.							
20	Ramparts, Inc.							
21	Parties represented by James R. Hales, Esq.:							
22	Lakeside Inn, Inc.							
23	(#193). Parties not interested in a settlement conference at this time are:							
24	Parties represented by David C. McElhinney, Esq.							
25	Sierra Development Company dba Club Cal Neva							
26	Parties represented by Katherine F. Parks, Esq.:							
27	Wimar Tahoe Corp.							
28	Tropicana Entertainment, Inc.							

Tro	bicana	Expr	ess. L	LC	fka	Tro	oicana	Expre	ess. I	nc.
	preama	- Pr	••••, -		11100		Jieana	Lipi	, .	

Id.

4	14.						
3	Based on this report, the court will convene a settlement conference for those parties who						
4	signified they would like to participate (the "settling parties"). Given the number of parties, the						
5	court is interested in recommendations from counsel concerning the most efficient and cost						
6	effective means to hold the settlement conference. The court is willing to convene one						
7	settlement conference, or it will consider some other proposal that may be more streamlined.						
8	Based upon the foregoing,						
9	IT IS ORDERED as follows:						
10	1. The settling parties shall meet and confer and submit a proposed procedure for a						
11	settlement conference no later than Friday, May 30, 2014. If no consensus is reached, the parties						
12	may submit competing proposals for the format of the settlement conference on that same date,						
13	and the court will decide how best to proceed.						
14	2. Thereafter, the court will set a settlement conference.						
15	3. Pending the settlement conference, discovery will be STAYED , and the court will						
16	decide the joint motion to stay discovery (#162) after the settlement conference.						
17	Dated May 15, 2014. Valurie P. Jooke						
18	Vallrie . Course						
19	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE						
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							
26							
27							
28							