Pursuant to Local Rule IA 6-1, the parties, by and through their respective counsel of record, hereby stipulate and request that this Court extend the deadlines for Plaintiff Applications in Internet Time, LLC ("AIT") and Defendant Salesforce, Inc. ("Salesforce") to file their Response and Reply Briefs to Salesforce's Motion to Dismiss Dkt. 281, respectively, as follows:

Event	<b>Current Deadline</b>	New Deadline
Plaintiff to submit responsive brief to Salesforce's Motion to Dismiss Dkt. 281	October 14, 2022	October 28, 2021
Salesforce to submit reply brief in support of its Motion to Dismiss Dkt. 281	October 21, 2022	November 18, 2022

This is the first stipulation for extension of time.

Reasons for Request for Extension: The parties will be concurrently briefing summary judgment motions and Daubert motions. The parties agree that additional time is warranted to ensure that the issues addressed are adequately presented to the Court.

1			
2	DATED: October 6, 2022	DATED: October 6, 2022	
3			
4	By: /s/ Andrea Pacelli	By: /s/ Ray Zado	
5	Michael A. Burke (NSB #11527) ROBISON, SHARP, SULLIVAN &	John Frankovich, NV Bar #667 Leigh Goddard, NV Bar #6315	
6	BRUST	Philip Manelly, NV Bar #14236 McDonald Carano LLP	
7	Andrea Pacelli (pro hac vice) Mark S. Raskin (pro hac vice)	Kevin Johnson (pro hac vice)	
8	Michael DeVincenzo (pro hac vice)	Ray Zado (pro hac vice)	
9	Charles Wizenfeld (pro hac vice) Daniel Miller (pro hac vice)	Sam Stake ( <i>pro hac vice</i> ) James Judah ( <i>pro hac vice</i> )	
10	KING & WOOD MALLESONS LLP  Steven C. Sereboff (pro hac vice) SoCAL IP LAW GROUP LLP	Attorneys for Defendant Salesforce, Inc.	
11		Attorneys for Defendant Salesforce, Inc.	
12			
13	Attorneys for Plaintiff Applications in Internet Time, LLC		
14			
15		IT IS SO ORDERED:	
16		DATED: October 11, 2022	
17		$\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{a})$	
18		UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
19		UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
20		<b>V</b>	
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			