٧.

B. JACKSON, et al.,

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

ARTURO T. OCHOA,

Plaintiff,

Case No. 3:14-cv-00061-MMD-VPC

ORDER

Defendants.

This prisoner civil rights action comes before the Court following plaintiff's failure to pay the \$350.00 filing fee in response to the Court's order of February 3, 2014.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g), "if the prisoner has, on 3 or more prior occasions, while incarcerated or detained in any facility, brought an action or appeal in a court of the United States that was dismissed on the grounds that is frivolous, malicious, or fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted," he may not proceed *in forma pauperis* and instead must pay the full \$350.00 filing fee in advance, unless he is under "imminent danger of serious physical injury." *See Andrews v. King*, 398 F.3d 1113, 1123 (9th Cir. 2005); *see also Rodriguez v. Cook*, 169 F.3d 1176, 1178-82 (9th Cir. 1999); *Tierney v. Kupers*, 128 F.3d 1310, 1311-12 (9th Cir. 1997). In the order of February 3, 2014, the Court found that, on at least three occasions, the Court has dismissed civil actions commenced by plaintiff while in detention for failure to state a claim for which relief may be granted. (Dkt. no. 3.)¹ The Court found that, in the complaint,

¹See Ochoa v. Cook, et al., 3:02-cv-00450-DWH-RAM; Ochoa v. Willis, et al., 3:02-cv-00545-ECR-VPC (both dismissed for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted); Ochoa v. Putter C/O, et al., 3:10-cv-00364-HDM-RAM (dismissed as delusional and factually frivolous). The Court takes judicial notice of its prior records in the above matters.

plaintiff failed to plausibly allege that he is under imminent danger of serious physical injury. (*Id.*) The Court denied plaintiff's application to proceed *in forma pauperis* and ordered he must pay the full filing fee within thirty (30) days or his case would be dismissed, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). (*Id.*).

The thirty-day time period has expired, and plaintiff has not paid the filing fee. Plaintiff has, however, filed two documents entitled "application to seek leave to file." (Dkt. nos. 4 & 5.) Plaintiff's filings do not demonstrate that he is under imminent danger of serious physical injury. Plaintiff, having failed to pay the filing fee for this action and having failed to demonstrate that he is under imminent danger of serious physical injury, has not made the showing required by 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g) to allow his complaint to proceed.

It is therefore ordered that this action is dismissed without prejudice for failure to pay the filing fee. The Clerk of Court shall enter final judgment accordingly.

It is further ordered that this Court certifies that any *in forma pauperis* appeal from this order would not be taken "in good faith" pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3).

DATED THIS 10th day of April 2014.

MIRANDA M. DU UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE