Myers v. Baker et a	Ļ

1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA			
8	* *	* *		
9	JOSHUA CARY MYERS,	Case No. 3:14-cv-00082-MMD-VPC		
10	Plaintiff, v.	ORDER		
11	RENEE BAKER, <i>et al.</i> ,			
12	Defendants.			
13				
14				
15	This action is a <i>pro se</i> petition for a writ of habeas corpus filed pursuant to 28			
16	U.S.C. § 2254, by a Nevada state prisoner. Petitioner has paid the filing fee for this			
17				
18	Petitioner has filed a motion for the appointment of counsel. (Dkt. no. 1-3.)			
19				
20	counsel when it determines that the "interests of justice" require representation. There is			
21	no constitutional right to appointed counse			
22	Pennsylvania v. Finley, 481 U.S. 551, 555 (1) (9th Cir. 1993). The decision to appoint co			
23	<i>Lewis</i> , 801 F.2d 1191, 1196 (9th Cir. 1986),			
24				
25	v. Risley, 730 F.2d 1228, 1234 (9th Cir.), cert. denied, 469 U.S. 838 (1984). However,			
26 27	counsel must be appointed if the complexities of the case are such that denial of counsel would amount to a denial of due process, and where the petitioner is a person lf			
	such limited education as to be incapable of fairly presenting his claims. See Chaney,			
28		in the second seco		

801 F.2d at 1196; see also Hawkins v. Bennett, 423 F.2d 948 (8th Cir. 1970). The 1 petition on file in this action is well-written and sufficiently clear in presenting the issues 2 that petitioner wishes to bring. The issues in this case are not complex. It does not 3 appear that counsel is justified in this instance. The motion for the appointment is 4 denied. 5

It is therefore ordered that petitioner's motion for the appointment of counsel (dkt. 6 7 no. 1-3) is denied.

It is further ordered that the Clerk of the Court shall file and electronically serve 8 the petition upon the respondents. 9

It is further ordered that respondents shall have forty-five (45) days from entry of 10 this order within which to answer, or otherwise respond to, the petition. In their answer 11 or other response, respondents shall address all claims presented in the petition. 12 Respondents shall raise all potential affirmative defenses in the initial responsive 13 pleading, including lack of exhaustion and procedural default. Successive motions to 14 dismiss will not be entertained. If an answer is filed, respondents shall comply with the 15 requirements of Rule 5 of the Rules Governing Proceedings in the United States District 16 Courts under 28 U.S.C. §2254. If an answer is filed, petitioner shall have forty-five (45) 17 days from the date of service of the answer to file a reply. 18

It is further ordered that any state court record exhibits filed by respondents shall 19 be filed with a separate index of exhibits identifying the exhibits by number or letter. 20 The hard copy of all state court record exhibits shall be forwarded, for this case, to the 21 22 staff attorneys in the Reno Division of the Clerk of Court.

23

It is further ordered that, henceforth, petitioner shall serve upon the Attorney General of the State of Nevada a copy of every pleading, motion, or other document he 24 submits for consideration by the Court. Petitioner shall include with the original paper 25 submitted for filing a certificate stating the date that a true and correct copy of the 26 document was mailed to the Attorney General. The Court may disregard any paper that 27 does not include a certificate of service. After respondents appear in this action, 28

2

1	petitioner shall make such service upon the particular Deputy Attorney General
2	assigned to the case.
3	DATED THIS 24 th day of July 2014.
4	1 ch
5	MIRANDA M. DU UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JODGE
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16 17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	3