

1 which no objections were made); *see also Schmidt v. Johnstone*, 263 F. Supp. 2d 1219,
2 1226 (D. Ariz. 2003) (reading the Ninth Circuit’s decision in *Reyna-Tapia* as adopting the
3 view that district courts are not required to review “any issue that is not the subject of an
4 objection.”). Thus, if there is no objection to a magistrate judge’s recommendation, then
5 the court may accept the recommendation without review. *See, e.g., Johnstone*, 263 F.
6 Supp. 2d at 1226 (accepting, without review, a magistrate judge’s recommendation to
7 which no objection was filed).

8 Nevertheless, this Court finds it appropriate to engage in a *de novo* review to
9 determine whether to adopt Magistrate Judge Cobb’s R&R. The Magistrate Judge
10 recommended granting Plaintiff’s application to proceed *in forma pauperis* because his
11 monthly expenses and debt make it unlikely he would be able pay the filing fee. The
12 Magistrate Judge recommended dismissing the complaint with prejudice because
13 Plaintiff seeks to file a criminal charge. Upon reviewing the R&R and Plaintiff’s filings,
14 this Court finds good cause to accept and adopt the Magistrate Judge’s R&R in full.


15 It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed that the Report and
16 Recommendation of Magistrate Judge William G. Cobb (dkt. no. 4) be accepted and
17 adopted in its entirety.

18 It is ordered that plaintiff’s application to proceed *in form pauperis* (dkt. no. 1)
19 without having to prepay the filing fee is granted.

20 It is further ordered that the Clerk shall detach and file the complaint (dkt. no. 1-1).

21 It is further ordered that the complaint be dismissed with prejudice.

22 DATED THIS 1st day of October 2014.

23
24 
25 _____
26 MIRANDA M. DU
27 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
28