

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

RICHARD WEDDLE,)	3:14-cv-00241-MMD-WGC
)	
Plaintiff,)	<u>MINUTES OF PROCEEDINGS</u>
)	
vs.)	March 6, 2015
)	
RENEE BAKER, <i>et al.</i> ,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
_____)	

PRESENT: THE HONORABLE WILLIAM G. COBB, U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DEPUTY CLERK: Katie Lynn Ogden REPORTER: FTR

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF: Richard Weddle, Pro Se (Telephonically)

COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANTS: Charles Lehman, Esq.

MINUTES OF PROCEEDINGS: Motion Hearing

9:58 a.m. Court convenes.

The court holds today’s hearing to address Plaintiff’s Motion to Reset Scheduling Order (Doc. # 31).

The court explains Plaintiff is contesting the entry of the Scheduling Order (Doc. # 26), arguing the court must await a mandate from the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit before entering such an order. Plaintiff agrees the court has correctly summarized his argument and further requests the court to consider extending the deadlines set forth in the scheduling order by thirty (30) days.

Defendants’ counsel Charles Lehman has no objection to the limited extension of time the Plaintiff is seeking.

After having discussion regarding the current status of the case and inquiry into what discovery has been undertaken and remains necessary, the court establishes the following revised deadlines:

///

