

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

MAX REED, II,

Plaintiff,

v.

NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF
CORRECTIONS, *et al.*,

Defendants.

3:14-cv-00313-MMD-VPC

MINUTES OF THE COURT

May 1, 2015

PRESENT: THE HONORABLE VALERIE P. COOKE, U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DEPUTY CLERK: LISA MANN REPORTER: NONE APPEARING

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF(S): NONE APPEARING

COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT(S): NONE APPEARING

MINUTE ORDER IN CHAMBERS:

Before the court are plaintiff's motions (#s 84/85).

First, the court has ruled or entered recommended dispositions on the pending motions that plaintiff identifies. These have been or will be delivered to him via the U.S. Postal Service. Therefore, the motion (#84) is **DENIED as moot**.

Second, the court shall not order the Clerk to provide plaintiff copies of his filings. Plaintiff is obviously capable of retaining a copy of papers he files, and defendants must serve upon him a copy of their motions and papers. It is not the court's obligation to provide additional copies to a litigant who misplaces documents, even when the litigant is indigent. If plaintiff desires new copies of any papers, he may submit a copy request form to the Clerk stating the specific documents he would like copied along with the appropriate fee, or request the same from ESP officials as permitted by applicable prison policies. The motion for copies (#85) is **DENIED**.

Finally, plaintiff is advised that his habit of filing new motions that are, in substance, identical to motions he has already filed, and/or filing motions seeking "updates" or immediate action on pending motions will not increase the speed with which the court is able to proceed in this case. The court has a heavy docket. Plaintiff's case is just one of hundreds before the court.

