Benchmark	nsurance Company v. GL Construction Company et al	
1		
2		
3		
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
5	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
6	BENCHMARK INSURANCE COMPANY,	
7	Plaintiff,	
8	VS.	3:14-cv-00326-RCJ-VPC
9	GL CONSTRUCTION COMPANY; GORDAN	ORDER
10	LEMICH; CERBERUS HOLDINGS, LLC and NORTHERN NEVADA HOMES, LLC,	
11	Defendants.	
12		
13	Pending before the Court is G.L. Construction ("G.L") and Gordon Lemich's ("Lemich")	
14	Motion for Reconsideration. (ECF No. 59). This is the second motion for reconsideration that	
15	G.L. and Lemich have filed requesting that the Court revisit its October 29, 2014 Order, (ECF	
16	No. 36), in which the Court denied their request for summary judgment on whether Benchmark	
17	had a duty to defend G.L. in an underlying lawsuit. On November 5, 2014, G.L. and Lemich	
18	filed their first objection to the denial summary judgment. The Court considered that motion and	
19	denied it on January 9, 2015. (ECF No. 56). G.L. and Lemich now move for the Court to	
20	reconsider its January 9, 2015 ruling and to again revisit the initial denial of summary judgment.	
21	Reconsideration is appropriate if the Court "(1) is presented with newly discovered	
22	evidence, (2) committed clear error or the initial decision was manifestly unjust, or (3) if there is	
23	an intervening change in controlling law." Sch. Dist. No. 1J v. ACandS, Inc., 5 F.3d 1255, 1263	
24		
	1	

Doc. 69

1	(9th Cir. 1993). The Court finds that none of these factors are present as to either the October
2	29, 2014 Order or the January 9, 2015 Order. Therefore, the Motion is denied.
3	CONCLUSION
4	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that G.L. and Lemich's Motion for Reconsideration (ECF
5	No. 59) is DENIED.
6	IT IS SO ORDERED.
7	
8	DATED: This 11 th day of February, 2015
9	(anes
10	ROBERT C JONES United States District Judge
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
	2