Schroeder et al v. Kendall County et al

Doc. 5

of review employed by the district court when reviewing a report and recommendation to which no objections were made); see also Schmidt v. Johnstone, 263 F. Supp. 2d 1219, 1226 (D. Ariz. 2003) (reading the Ninth Circuit's decision in Reyna-Tapia as adopting the view that district courts are not required to review "any issue that is not the subject of an objection."). Thus, if there is no objection to a magistrate judge's recommendation, then the court may accept the recommendation without review. See, e.g., Johnstone, 263 F. Supp. 2d at 1226 (accepting, without review, a magistrate judge's recommendation to which no objection was filed).

Nevertheless, this Court finds it appropriate to engage in a *de novo* review to determine whether to adopt Magistrate Judge Cobb's R&R. Upon reviewing the R&R and Plaintiff's filings, this Court finds good cause to accept and adopt the Magistrate Judge's R&R in full.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed that the Report and Recommendation of Magistrate Judge William G. Cobb (dkt. no. 4) be accepted and adopted in its entirety.

It is ordered that plaintiff's application to proceed *in form pauperis* (dkt. no. 1) is granted; plaintiff shall not be required to pay an initial fee.

It is further ordered that the Clerk shall detach and file the complaint (dkt. no. 1-1). It is further ordered that the complaint be dismissed with prejudice.

The Clerk is directed to close this case.

DATED THIS 1<sup>st</sup> day of October 2014.

MTRANDA M. DU UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE