aca et	al	Doc. 1	4
1			
2			
3			
4			
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
6	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
7	JOHN FLOWERS,		
8	Plaintiff,		
9	V	3:14-cv-366-RCJ-WGC	
10	ISIDRO BACA et al.,	ORDER	
11	Defendants.		
12			
13	I. DISCUSSION		
14	On September 29, 2014, this Court issued a screening order granting Plaintiff's		
15	application to proceed in forma pauperis but dismissed Plaintiff's complaint in its entirety.		
16	(ECF No. 5 at 8). This Court did not indicate whether an appeal would be taken "in good faith"		
17	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3). On October 8, 2014, Plaintiff filed a notice of appeal.		
18	(ECF No. 12). That same day, the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals issued an order directing this		
19	Court to indicate whether Plaintiff's in forma pauperis status should continue for the appeal.		
20	(ECF No. 13).		
21	The Court now finds the appeal would be taken "in good faith" pursuant to 28 U.S.C.		
22	§ 1915(a)(3).		
23	II. CONCLUSION		
24	For the foregoing reasons, IT IS ORDERED that the appeal would be taken "in good		
25	faith" pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3).		
26	Dated: This 21st day of October 2014		
27	Dated: This 21st day of October, 2014.		
28	James		
	United States District Judge		

Flowers v. Baca et al