

1

2

3

4

5

6

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

7

8

9 BRENT MORRIS,

10           Petitioner,

11 vs.

12 RENEE BAKER, *et al.*,

13           Respondents.

Case No. 3:14-cv-00444-LRH-WGC

**ORDER**

14

15           This action is a *pro se* petition for a writ of habeas corpus filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254  
16 by a Nevada state prisoner.

17           Petitioner has filed a motion for the appointment of counsel. (ECF No. 5). Pursuant to 18  
18 U.S.C. § 3006(a)(2)(B), the district court has discretion to appoint counsel when it determines that  
19 the “interests of justice” require representation. There is no constitutional right to appointed counsel  
20 for a federal habeas corpus proceeding. *Pennsylvania v. Finley*, 481 U.S. 551, 555 (1987); *Bonin v.*  
21 *Vasquez*, 999 F.2d 425, 428 (9th Cir. 1993). The decision to appoint counsel is generally  
22 discretionary. *Chaney v. Lewis*, 801 F.2d 1191, 1196 (9th Cir. 1986), *cert. denied*, 481 U.S. 1023  
23 (1987); *Bashor v. Risley*, 730 F.2d 1228, 1234 (9th Cir.), *cert. denied*, 469 U.S. 838 (1984). The  
24 petition on file in this action is well-written and sufficiently clear in presenting the issues that  
25 petitioner wishes to bring. The issues in this case are not complex. Counsel is not justified in this  
26 instance.

27 ///

28 ///

