Friedman v. Cox et	al

		1	
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
8	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
9	* * *		
10	KENNETH A. FRIEDMAN, Case No. 3:14-cv-00465-MMD-VPC		
11	Plaintiff, ORDER		
12	JAMES GREGG COX et al.,		
13	Defendants.		
14			
15	I. DISCUSSION		
16	In October 2014, Plaintiff voluntarily dismissed his case because he had not		
17	exhausted his claims. (Dkt. no. 11.) In December 2014, the Clerk of the Court entered		
18	judgment. (Dkt. no. 12.)		
19	On December 21, 2015, Plaintiff filed a motion to reopen this case because he		
20	had finally exhausted his claims. (Dkt. no. 13 at 1.) Plaintiff attached his proposed		
21	amended complaint. (Dkt. no. 13-1.)		
22	The Court denies Plaintiff's motion to reopen his case. Instead, Plaintiff shall		
23	open a new case by filing his complaint with the Clerk's Office. Plaintiff shall also submit		
24	an application to proceed in forma pauperis or pay the \$400 filing fee to the Clerk's		
25	Office.		
26	II. CONCLUSION		
27	For the foregoing reasons, it is ordered that the motion to reopen case (dkt. no.		
28	13) is denied.		

It is further ordered that Plaintiff shall initiate a new action by filing his complaint
 with the Clerk's Office and by either paying the full filing fee of \$400 or filing an
 application to proceed *in forma pauperis*.

4 It is further ordered that the Clerk of the Court shall send Plaintiff the approved
5 form application to proceed *in forma pauperis* by a prisoner, as well as the document
6 entitled information and instructions for filing an *in forma pauperis* application.

7 It is further ordered that the Clerk of the Court shall send to Plaintiff the approved
8 form for filing a § 1983 complaint, instructions for the same, and a copy of his proposed
9 amended complaint (dkt. no. 1-1).

DATED THIS 23rd day of December 2015.

MIRANDA M. DU UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE