FILED ENTERED COUNSEL/PARTIES OF RECORD RECEIVED SERVED ON RENE L. VALLADARES 1 Federal Public Defender Nevada State Bar No. 11479 2 C.B. KIRSCHNER 3 Assistant Federal Public Defender DISTRICT OF NEVADA Pennsylvania State Bar No. 92998 4 411 E. Bonneville, Ste. 250 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 5 DEPUTY (702) 388-6577 6 (702) 388-5819 (fax) CB\_Kirschner@fd.org 7 Attorney for Dustin Redenius 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 10 DUSTIN OWEN REDENIUS. Case No. 3:14-cv-00538-RCJ-VPC 11 Petitioner, 12 UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR v. EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE 13 REPLY TO ANSWER JACK PALMER, WARDEN, et al., 14 (Second Request) Respondents. 15 16 Petitioner, Dustin Redenius, by and though counsel, C.B. Kirschner, 17 Assistant Federal Public Defender, moves this Court for an extension of time of 18 sixty (60) days from July 3, 2017, to and including September 1, 2017, to file a Reply 19 to Respondents' Answer to the Amended Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus. This 20 motion is based upon the attached points and authorities and all pleadings and 21 papers on file herein. 22 111 23 24111 25 111 26

Case 3:14-cv-00538-RCJ-VPC Document 50 Filed 07/03/17 Page 1 of 4

## POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

- 1. Dustin Redenius filed his pro se Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus on October 14, 2014. ECF No. 2. On October 2, 2015, Mr. Redenius, through counsel, filed an Amended Petition. ECF No. 16. Respondents filed an Answer to the Amended Petition on April 3, 2017. ECF No. 47. Mr. Redenius's Reply to Respondents' Answer is currently due July 3, 2017. Mr. Redenius now requests an additional sixty (60) days to file his Reply, until September 1, 2017. This is the second request for an extension of time.
- 2. The additional period of time is necessary in order to effectively represent Mr. Redenius. This motion is filed in the interests of justice and not for the purposes of unnecessary delay.
- 3. Counsel has been working diligently on this matter, however, her current caseload makes it necessary to request additional time in order to complete the Reply. Counsel had an argument before the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals on June 15, 2017, in *Rangel v. Neven*, case no. 16-15232, which required extensive preparation. Additionally, counsel filed a Motion for Stay in *Flowers v. Nevada*, case no. 2:16-cv-01304-APG-GWF, on June 8, 2017; a Reply to Answer in *Young v. Williams*, case no. 2:12-cv-00524-RFB-NJK, on June 26, 2017; and another Reply to Answer in *DeCastro v. Legrand*, case no. 3:14-cv-00529-RCJ-WGC, on July 3, 2017.
- 4. Counsel is currently working on numerous other cases with upcoming filing deadlines including a Reply to Response in *Ennis v. Nevada*, case no. C110002, due July 11, 2017; Opposition to Motion to Dismiss in *Melendez v. Neven*, case no. 2:16-cv-01003-JAD-CWH, due July 24, 2017; Reply to Answer in *Moore v. LeGrand*, case no. 3:13-cv-00390-LRH-WGC, due July 31, 2017; and Amended Petitions in *Posey v. Nevens*, case no. 2:15-cv-1482-RFB-GWF, due August 10, 2017; *Page v. Warden*, case no. 3:16-cv-00298-MMD-VPC, due August 14, 2017; *Yaag v.*

LeGrand, case no. 3:14-cv-00295-MMD-WGC, due August 28, 2017; Dominguez v. Baker, case no. 3:17-cv-00053-HDM-WGC, due August 21, 2017; Cross v. Williams, case no. 2:17-cv-00290-JCM-VCF, due September 5, 2017; and Norton v. Williams, case no. 2:16-cv-00894-RFB-CWH, due September 11, 2017

- 5. On June 29, 2017, Deputy Attorney General Matthew Johnson was contacted via email and stated that he did not object to the extension, but the lack of objection should not be construed as a waiver of any issues or defenses.
- 6. For the above stated reasons, Petitioner respectfully requests this Court grant the request for an extension of time of sixty (60) days and order the Reply to be filed on or before September 1, 2017.

Dated this 3rd day of July, 2017.

Respectfully submitted, RENE L. VALLADARES Federal Public Defender

/s/ C.B. KIRSCHNER
Assistant Federal Public Defender

IT IS SO ORDERED:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGI

DATED: <u>/-/8-2018</u>