I

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	DISTRICT OF NEVADA
10	
11	WINDSOR WEST VENTURES, LLC,) 3:14-cv-00539-HDM-VPC
12	Plaintiff,)) ORDER
13	vs.
14	NEVADA URBAN INDIANS, INC.,
15	Defendant.)
16	Before the court is the plaintiff Windsor West Ventures, LLC's
17	("plaintiff") motion for summary judgment and two supplements
18	thereto. (#17, #23 & #36). Defendant Nevada Urban Indians, Inc.
19	("defendant") has opposed (#20), and plaintiff has replied (#22).
20	On June 27, 2013, defendant as tenant and plaintiff as
21	landlord entered into a commercial lease agreement. The lease term
22	was to commence September 1, 2013, or as soon as improvements
23	requested by the defendant were completed, and run through August
24	31, 2020. Defendant began occupying the leased premises in January
25 26	2014. Shortly thereafter, plaintiff asserts, defendant began
26 27	complaining about the condition of the property and expressed an
27 28	intent to vacate. In response, plaintiff filed this suit for
20	
	1

1 anticipatory breach of contract and declaratory relief. Defendant 2 answered and counterclaimed for breach of the lease. Plaintiff now 3 moves for entry of summary judgment on its claims, both on 4 liability and damages, as well as defendant's counterclaims.¹

5 Summary judgment shall be granted "if the movant shows that there is no genuine issue as to any material fact and the movant is 6 7 entitled to judgment as a matter of law." Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(a). 8 The burden of demonstrating the absence of a genuine issue of 9 material fact lies with the moving party, and for this purpose, the material lodged by the moving party must be viewed in the light 10 11 most favorable to the nonmoving party. Adickes v. S.H. Kress & 12 Co., 398 U.S. 144, 157 (1970); Martinez v. City of Los Angeles, 141 F.3d 1373, 1378 (9th Cir. 1998). A material issue of fact is one 13 14 that affects the outcome of the litigation and requires a trial to 15 resolve the differing versions of the truth. Lynn v. Sheet Metal 16 Workers Int'l Ass'n, 804 F.2d 1472, 1483 (9th Cir. 1986); S.E.C. v. 17 Seaboard Corp., 677 F.2d 1301, 1306 (9th Cir. 1982).

Once the moving party presents evidence that would call for judgment as a matter of law at trial if left uncontroverted, the respondent must show by specific facts the existence of a genuine issue for trial. Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc., 477 U.S. 242, 250 (1986). "[T]here is no issue for trial unless there is sufficient evidence favoring the nonmoving party for a jury to return a verdict for that party. If the evidence is merely

25

²⁶ ¹ Subsequent to the plaintiff's filing of its motion, defendant gave ¹ notice that it intended to vacate by September 30, 2015. Plaintiff asserts that the defendant stopped paying rent on September 30, 2015. At a hearing on that date before this court, defendant represented that it had paid rent through October. Plaintiff did not contest defendant's representation.

1 colorable, or is not significantly probative, summary judgment may 2 be granted." Id. at 249-50 (citations omitted). "A mere scintilla 3 of evidence will not do, for a jury is permitted to draw only those 4 inferences of which the evidence is reasonably susceptible; it may 5 not resort to speculation." British Airways Bd. v. Boeing Co., 585 6 F.2d 946, 952 (9th Cir. 1978).

7 Plaintiff seeks judgment on its breach of contract claim.
8 However, as defendant has vacated the property, Nevada Revised
9 Statutes § 118.175 requires plaintiff to take reasonable steps to
10 mitigate its damages. Whether plaintiff has taken sufficient steps
11 to satisfy this obligation is a question of fact that must be
12 resolved at trial.

13 Further, plaintiff seeks judgment on its claim for declaratory 14 relief, which seeks a declaration that defendant has not been 15 constructively evicted. To prove constructive eviction, the 16 defendant must prove that: (1) plaintiff either acted or failed to 17 act; (2) plaintiff's action or inaction rendered "the whole or a 18 substantial part of the premises ... unfit for occupancy for the purpose for which it was leased"; (3) defendant vacated the 19 20 premises within a reasonable time; and (4) defendant provided 21 plaintiff notice of and a reasonable opportunity to cure the defect. Mason-McDuffie Real Estate, Inc. v. Villa Fiore Dev., LLC, 22 23 335 P.3d 211, 214-15 (Nev. 2014). Although the evidence is quite 24 thin, there is evidence of circumstances that, taken together, 25 could have rendered the premises unfit for the purpose for which it was leased, including but not limited to: failure to complete one 26 27 of the exam rooms as agreed, multiple nonfunctioning electrical 28 outlets, holes in the wall, damage to items in the office

3

overnight, as well as doors left unlocked after hours, and a public 1 bathroom - which defendant's clients used - kept in an unsanitary 2 3 or unusable condition. (See Pl. Mot. Ex. 2 (Reeves Dep. at 22-23, 4 28-30, 35, 40, 48-49, 52, 53-57, 66 & 69)). Whether defendant 5 vacated the premises in a reasonable period of time and gave plaintiff sufficient notice as required by the law are also 6 7 questions of fact for the trier of fact. Accordingly, genuine 8 issues of material fact preclude summary judgment on the constructive eviction claim. 9

10 For similar reasons, triable issues of fact exist as to the 11 defendant's counterclaim for breach of the lease agreement. Most 12 particularly, the lease required plaintiff to "install sinks with 13 lower cabinets in two (2) exam rooms. . . " (Mot. Summ. J. Ex. 14 1)). Reeves testified that one of the exam rooms had not been 15 completed and specifically did not contain a sink in accordance 16 with the lease agreement. Id. Ex. 2 (Reeves Dep. at 22-23, 28-30, 35 & 69)). There is therefore a genuine issue of material fact on 17 the defendant's counterclaim, as well. 18

19 Because of the nature of the alleged breaches, the court is 20 not persuaded by plaintiff's argument that an expert witness is 21 required to a prove that it breached its duties or the lease.

Accordingly, the plaintiff's motion for summary judgment (#17, #23, #36) is hereby **DENIED**.

24

25

26

27

28

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: This 4th day of February, 2016.

Howard DMEKiller

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

4