1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA
8	* * *
9	DENNIS K. SUDBERRY, Case No. 3:14-cv-00662-RCJ-VPC
10	Petitioner, ORDER v.
11	ISIDRO BACA, et al.,
12	Respondents.
13 14	
15	This court dismissed this pro se habeas corpus petition without prejudice for
16	failure to comply with this court's order to pay the \$5.00 filing fee (ECF No. 5), and
17	judgment was entered (ECF No. 7).
18	Now before the court is a filing that petitioner Sudberry styled a motion for
19	clarification and filed almost one year after this case was closed (ECF No. 12).
20	Moreover, Sudberry merely lists a few potential allegations that might implicate one's
21 22	rights under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 or 28 U.S.C. § 2254 and lists numerous cases that he
23	has filed in this court. He appears to ask the court to sort out which claims are raised in
24	which cases. Sudberry is responsible for litigating and keeping track of his many cases;
25	this motion is frivolous and a misuse of this court's limited time and resources.
26	
27	
28	
	1

1	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that petitioner's motion for clarification (ECF No.
2	12) is DENIED .
3 4	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that petitioner shall file no more documents in this
4 5	closed case.
6	
7	
8	DATED: 17 February 2017.
9	K. Janes
10	ROBERT C. JONES UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20 21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	2