udberry v. Baca	a et al ॥		Doc.
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
8	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
9			
10	DENNIS K. SUDBERRY,		
11	Petitioner,	Case No. 3:14-cv-00662-RCJ-VPC	
12	VS.	ORDER	
13	ISIDRO BACA, et al.,		
14	Respondents.		
15			
16 17	This habass matter is a 28 LLS C 8 22	41 notition by an inmate aballancing a pricen disciplina	
18	This habeas matter is a 28 U.S.C. § 2241 petition by an inmate challenging a prison disciplinary proceeding (ECF #1-1). On February 11, 2015, the court denied petitioner's application to proceed <i>in</i>		
19	forma pauperis and directed him to pay the full filing fee of \$5.00 within thirty days (ECF #4).		
20	Petitioner was expressly advised that failure to do so may result in the dismissal of this action. More		
21	than the allotted time has passed, and petitioner has failed to pay the filing fee, seek an extension, or		
22	respond to the court's order in any manner. Accordingly, the petition shall be dismissed without		
23	prejudice.	, recordingly, and position of all and all all all all and all all all all all all all all all al	
24	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Clerk shall file the petition (ECF #1-1).		
25	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this action is DISMISSED without prejudice to the filing		
26	of a new petition in a new action with either the full filing fee or a properly completed application form		Ŭ
27	to proceed <i>in forma pauperis</i> with the required financial documentation demonstrating that petitioner		
28	is unable to pay the filing fee.		
	II		1

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a certificate of appealability is **DENIED**, as jurists of reason would not find the court's dismissal of this improperly commenced action without prejudice to be debatable or incorrect.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk shall send petitioner two copies each of an application form to proceed *in forma pauperis* for incarcerated persons and a Section 2241 habeas petition form, one copy of the instructions for each form, and a copy of the papers that he submitted in this action.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that petitioner's motion for appointment of counsel (ECF #3) is **DENIED** as moot.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk shall ENTER JUDGMENT accordingly and close this case.

Dated this 27th day of April, 2015.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE