1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
2	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
3	JAMES CORGAN,	CASE NO.: 3:14-CV-00692-RCJ-WGC
4	Plaintiff,	ORDER
5	v.	OKDEK
6	MIKE KEEMA, et al.,	
7	Defendant.	
8		
9	Before the Court is the Reports and Recommendations of U.S. Magistrate Judge (ECF #100)	
10	entered on June 20, 2017, recommending that the Court grant Defendants' Motion for Summar	
11	Judgment (ECF No. 83) as to the sole § 1983 federal claim, and decline to retain jurisdiction with respec	
12	to the remaining state law claims, which should be dismissed without prejudice. On July 3, 2017	
13	Defendants' filed Objection to Report and Recommendation Regarding Motion for Summary Judgmer	
14	(ECF No. 101).	
15	The Court has conducted it's de novo review in this case, has fully considered the objections of	
16	the Plaintiff, the pleadings and memoranda of the parties and other relevant matters of record pursuan	
17	to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule IB 3-2. The Court determines that the Magistrate Judge's	
18	Reports and Recommendation (ECF #100) entered on June 20, 2017, should be ADOPTED ANI	
19	ACCEPTED.	
20	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment (ECF No. 83) As	
21	TO THE SOLE § 1983 FEDERAL CLAIM is GRANTED.	
22	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Court declines to exercise supplemental jurisdiction over	
23	the remaining state law claims and DISMISS the remaining state law claims WITHOUT PREJUDICE	
24	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court shall enter judgment accordingly an	
25	close the case.	
26	IT IS SO ORDERED this 19th day of July, 2017.	
27		$\mathcal{O}(1)$
28		James .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Refers to court's docket number.