Riches et al v. Gore et al			Doc. 4
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
8			
9	JONATHAN RICH, et al.,		
10	Petitioners,	Case No. 3:15-cv-00108-RCJ-WGC	
11	VS.	ORDER	
12	SAMUEL GORE, et al.,		
13	Respondents.		
14			
15	Petitioners, who have been paroled from incarceration in the Nevada Department of		
16	Corrections, have submitted a petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241.		
17	Petitioners have not paid the filing fee, nor have they submitted applications to proceed in forma		
18	<u>pauperis</u> . However, the subject matter of the action is not suitable for habeas corpus, and the court		
19	simply will dismiss the action.		
20	Petitioners allege that they fear being returned to prisons in Nevada because of inadequate nutrition, denial of kosher diets, lack of dental care, lack of vocational training, lack of hot showers,		
21	solitary confinement, and other, unspecified unsanitary conditions. "Habeas corpus proceedings are		
22 23	the proper mechanism for a prisoner to challenge the 'legality or duration' of confinement. A civil		
23	rights action, in contrast, is the proper method of challenging 'conditions of confinement."		
25	Badea v. Cox, 931 F.2d 573, 574 (9th Cir. 1991) (quoting Preiser v. Rodriguez, 411 U.S. 475, 484,		
26	498-99 (1973)). Petitioners need to raise these claims in their own, separate civil rights actions		
27	pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.		
28	parsuant to 72 0.5.C. y 1705.		
20			

Reasonable jurists would not find this conclusion to be debatable or wrong, and the court will not issue a certificate of appealability.

Petitioner Jonathan Rich has filed a motion to withdraw (#3). The motion is moot because the court is dismissing the action in its entirety.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the motion to withdraw (#3) is **DENIED** as moot.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this action is **DISMISSED**. The clerk of the court shall enter judgment accordingly.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a certificate of appealability is **DENIED**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the clerk of the court shall serve this order and judgment upon each petitioner.

June 25, 2015 Dated:

ROBERT C. JONES United States District Judge