PHILIP GARRETT PANITZ, CA State Bar No. 096561 1 BARBARA E. LUBIN, CA State Bar No. 238283 2 JEFFREY G. SLOANE, NV State Bar No. 784 PANITZ & KOSSOFF, LLP 3 5743 Corsa Avenue, Suite 208 4 Westlake Village, CA 91362 Telephone: (805) 379-1667 5 Facsimile: (805) 379-1668 6 pgp@pktaxlaw.com/bel@pktaxlaw.com E-mail: 7 Attorneys for Plaintiffs and Counterclaim Defendants 8 Michael F. Reeder and Pamela O'Keefe, 9 Trustee for the Jordan Grace Reeder Irrevocable Trust and Trustee for the Darby Leigh Reeder Irrevocable Trust 10 11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 12 DISTRICT OF NEVADA, NORTHERN DIVISION 13 MICHAEL F. REEDER, 14 Civil No.: 3:15-cv-00129-MMD 15 WGC Plaintiff, 16 THIRD AMENDED JOINT v. 17 STIPULATION TO EXTEND 18 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, THE BRIEFING SCHEDULE FOR THE UNITED STATES' 19 Defendant. MOTION FOR SUMMARY PAMELA M. O'KEEFE, as 20 **JUDGMENT**; [PROPOSED] Trustee for the JORDAN 21 ORDER **GRACE REEDER** IRREVOCABLE TRUST, 22 23 Plaintiff, 24 v. 25 26 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA. 27 Defendant. 28 1

16620422.1

## AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS

COMES now Plaintiffs and Counterclaim Defendants Michael F. Reeder ("Reeder") and Pamela O'Keefe, as Trustee of the Jordan Grace Reeder Irrevocable Trust, dated April 15, 1993 ("JGR Trust") and the Darby Leigh Reeder Irrevocable Trust ("DLR Trust"), Defendant and Counterclaimant, United States of America ("United States"), by and through their undersigned counsel, and submit a third amended joint stipulation to extend the briefing dates with respect to the Motion for Summary Judgment which was filed by the United States of America ("United States") with this Court on May 1, 2018 [ECF 65]. This Court previously agreed to the parties' stipulated extended briefing dates of September 22, 2018 for Plaintiffs and Counterclaim Defendants to file an opposition to the United States' Motion for Summary Judgment and the date of October 22, 2018 for the United States to file a Reply [ECF 73].

By this stipulation, the parties are now requesting to extend the stipulated dates for an additional two month period in order to provide the government with the additional time necessary to evaluate the global settlement offer submitted by Plaintiffs and Counterclaim Defendants. Due to the large amount of the concession being sought by Mr. Reeder, this settlement requires several layers of review by the United States. Because this case has been classified by the Internal Revenue

Service ("IRS") as "Standard," the views of IRS Office of Chief Counsel must be obtained prior to the offer being considered by the Department of Justice. The proposed settlement of this matter must also be approved by several different sections within the Department of Justice. Counsel for Plaintiffs and Counterclaim Defendants and the United States' Department of Justice Trial Attorneys are expeditiously cooperating with all requests that come out of the various governmental levels of review; but the process is nonetheless fairly lengthy.

In addition, because the offer is partially based upon collectability, Mr. Reeder has submitted detailed financial statements which are in the process of being evaluated by the government. Mr. Reeder continues to provide updated financial information as requested. Accordingly, both sides would prefer to not expend the resources to currently continue the briefing schedule for the United States' summary judgment motion while the global settlement offer is under consideration.

Accordingly, the parties believe good cause exists for this third extension for the briefing schedule. The parties stipulate that the current briefing schedule dates be extended with the Opposition by the Plaintiff and Counter Defendant to the United States' Motion for Summary Judgment to be filed by November 22, 2018 and the United States' Reply Brief to be filed by December 24, 2018. The Parties will immediately advise the Court if a settlement is reached.