I

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
8		
9	STEVEN FLOYD VOSS,	
10	Petitioner,	Case No. 3:15-cv-00183-HDM-VPC
11	VS.	<u>ORDER</u>
12	ISIDRO BACA, et al.,	
13	Respondents.	
14		
15	Before the court are petitioner's motion for relief from judgment (ECF No. 32), respondents'	
16	opposition (ECF No. 33), and petitioner's reply (ECF No. 34). The court agrees with respondents.	
17	Petitioner is trying to re-litigate issues that the court already has decided, and a motion for relief	
18	from the judgment is not the proper method for that.	
19	Reasonable jurists would not find the court's conclusion to be debatable or wrong, and the	
20	court will not issue a certificate of appealability.	
21	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that petitioner's motion for relief from judgment (ECF No.	
22	32) is DENIED .	
23	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a certificate of appealability is DENIED .	
24	DATED: August 30, 2017.	
25		Howard DMEKiller
26		HOWARD D. MCKIBBEN
27		United States District Judge
28		