1		
2		
3		
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
5	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
6		
7	TERRY R. COCHRANE,	
8	Petitioner,	3:15-cv-00298-RCJ-VPC
9	vs.	
10	RENEE BAKER,	ORDER
11	Respondent.	
12		
13	Petitioner Terry Cochrane is a prisoner in the custody of the Nevada Department of	
14	Corrections. He filed a petition for a writ quo warranto in this Court, alleging that the state	
15	statutes under which he was convicted in state court were invalid. The Court adopted the	
16	Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation, dismissing the case with prejudice because	
17	only state law potentially provided for such a writ, and Nevada law only provided for such a writ	
18	to challenge a person's right to hold office and to oust him therefrom. Moreover, the pleading	
19	sounded in habeas corpus, and Petitioner had already filed a habeas corpus petition under 28	
20	U.S.C. § 2254 in this District. Plaintiff has appealed, and the Court of Appeals has referred the	
21	case to the Court to determine whether <i>in forma pauperis</i> status should continue on appeal. The	
22	Court finds that <i>in forma pauperis</i> status should not continue on appeal, as the appeal is	
23	frivolous. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3).	
24	///	

Cochrane v. Baker

Doc. 14

CONCLUSION

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that *in forma pauperis* status shall not continue on appeal.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this 7th day of January, 2016.

ROBERT C JONES United States District Judge