

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

\* \* \*

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	Goldsmith v. U.S. Bank, NA	2:15-cv-00991-MMD-PAL
8	Bank of America v. Woodcrest HOA	2:15-cv-01193-MMD-GWF
9	Wells Fargo v. Tides I HOA	2:15-cv-01204-MMD-PAL
10	Nationstar v. Aurora Canyon HOA	2:15-cv-01308-MMD-NJK
11	Nationstar v. Shara Sunrise HOA	2:15-cv-01597-MMD-NJK
12	Nationstar v. Augusta Belford and Ellingwood HOA	2:15-cv-01705-MMD-PAL
13	1290 Village Walk Trust v. Bank of America	2:15-cv-01903-MMD-PAL
14	Bank of New York Mellon v. Log Cabin Manor HOA	2:15-cv-02026-MMD-CWH
15	US Bank v. Ski Way Trust	2:16-cv-00066-MMD-GWF
16	Bank of New York Mellon v. Imagination North	2:16-cv-00383-MMD-NJK
17	Bank of America v. Green Valley South	2:16-cv-00424-MMD-PAL
18	Bank of America v. Inspirada Community Assoc.	2:16-cv-00438-MMD-VCF
19	Bank of America v. Sunrise Ridge	2:16-cv-00467-MMD-CWH
20	Deutsche Bank v. Independence II HOA	2:16-cv-00536-MMD-VCF
21	Bank of America v. Inspirada Community Assoc.	2:16-cv-00605-MMD-VCF
22	Bank of America v. Peccole Ranch Community Assoc.	2:16-cv-00660-MMD-CWH
23	Nationstar v. SFR Investments Pool	2:16-cv-00703-MMD-GWF
24	Bank of Amierca v. Treo North and South HOA	2:16-cv-00845-MMD-NJK
25	Wells Fargo v. SFR Investments Pool	3:15-cv-00240-MMD-VPC
26	US Bank v. Thunder Properties	3:15-cv-00328-MMD-WGC
27	PNC Bank v. Wingfield Springs Community Assoc.	3:15-cv-00349-MMD-VPC
28	Nationstar v. Highland Ranch HOA	3:15-cv-00375-MMD-VPC
	US Bank v. White Lake Ranch Assoc.	3:15-cv-00477-MMD-VPC
	GMAT Legal Title Trust v. SFR Investments Pool	3:15-cv-00518-MMD-WGC
	Bank of New York Mellon v. Thunder Properties	3:16-cv-00097-MMD-WGC
	Bank of America v. North Truckee Townhomes HOA	3:16-cv-00135-MMD-VPC
	Bank of America v. Grand Sierra Resort Unit Owners	3:16-cv-00146-MMD-WGC
	Bank of America v. Tenaya Creek HOA	3:16-cv-00158-MMD-WGC
	Bank of America v. Southwest Meadows HOA	3:16-cv-00183-MMD-VPC
	Bank of America v. The Siena HOA	3:16-cv-00188-MMD-VPC
	Ditech Financial v. Highland Ranch HOA	3:16-cv-00194-MMD-WGC
	Bank of America v. Aspen Meadows	3:16-cv-00413-MMD-WGC
	US Bank v. Fairway Pines Assoc.	3:16-cv-00446-MMD-VPC
	LN Mgmt v. Gelgotas	2:15-cv-00112-MMD-CWH
	Bank of New York Mellon v. Saticoy Bay	2:16-cv-00246-MMD-CWH
	Bank of America v. Southern Highlands	2:16-cv-00404-MMD-NJK
	Bank of America v. Spanish Bay HOA	2:16-cv-00691-MMD-VCF
	Bank of America v. Aliante Master Assoc.	2:16-cv-00962-MMD-CWH
	Wells Fargo v. SFT Investments Pool	2:16-cv-01069-MMD-VCF
	Nationstar v. Summit Hills HOA	2:16-cv-01637-MMD-GWF
	US Bank v. SFR Investments Pool	2:16-cv-01719-MMD-CWH
	Bank of America v. Rainbow Bend HOA	3:15-cv-00291-MMD-WGC
	Green Tree Servicing v. Rainbow Bend HOA	3:15-cv-00297-MMD-WGC
	Countrywide v. Willow Hills Commons HOA	3:15-cv-00333-MMD-VPC


Bank of America v. Huffaker Hills Deutsche Bank v. Williamsburg Townehomes HOA Bank of America v. Esplanade at Damonte Ranch HOA Bank of America v. Highland Ranch HOA Bank of America v. Yorkshire Manor I HOA Ditech Financial v. TBR I US Bank v. Casoleil HOA Ditech Financial v. Dorado HOA	3:15-cv-00502-MMD-WGC 3:16-cv-00004-MMD-WGC 3:16-cv-00120-MMD-WGC 3:16-cv-00154-MMD-VPC 3:16-cv-00192-MMD-VPC 3:16-cv-00227-MMD-WGC 3:16-cv-00307-MMD-WGC 3:16-cv-00351-MMD-VPC
---	--

ORDER LIFTING STAY

The Court *sua sponte* imposed a temporary stay in the above referenced cases because of the potential impact of the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals' decision in *Bourne Valley Court Trust v. Wells Fargo Bank, NA*, 832 F.3d 1154 (9th Cir. 2016). The stay order provides for the stay to be in effect until the mandate is issued in *Bourne Valley*. The Ninth Circuit issued the mandate on December 14, 2016, after denying a petition for en banc hearing and a petition for a stay pending the resolution of a petition for writ of certiorari. *Bourne Valley Court Trust v. Wells Fargo Bank, NA*, No. 15-15233 (9th Cir. Dec. 14, 2016) (mandate). Furthermore, the appellee specifically cited this Court's stay order in its request for a stay of the Ninth Circuit's mandate, arguing that delaying the mandate would promote efficiency. Nevertheless, the Ninth Circuit denied appellee's motion. Thus, *Bourne Valley's* holding is binding precedent unless and until it is reversed, though such finality may not occur for months. Under these circumstances, the Court finds that a continued stay would not promote fairness or "secure the just, speedy, and inexpensive determination" of these stayed cases as mandated under Fed. R. Civ. P. 1.

Accordingly, the temporary stay will be lifted. Pending motions that were denied without prejudice when the stay was imposed may be refiled within thirty (30) days.

DATED THIS 19<sup>th</sup> day of January 2017.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
MIRANDA M. DU  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE