1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
8	* * *	
9	ALEX MARQUEZ,	Case No. 3:15-cv-00492-MMD-VPC
10	Petitioner,	ORDER
11	v. E. K. McDANIEL, et al.,	
12	Respondents.	
13		
14	Petitioner has filed an unopposed motion for extension of time to file amended	
15	§ 2254 petition (third request) (ECF No. 13). The Court grants this motion.	
16	Petitioner has filed a first amended petition (ECF No. 14) and a motion to file a	
17	pleading in excess of thirty (30) pages (ECF No. 28). The Court grants the motion to file	
18	a long petition. The Court has reviewed the first amended petition pursuant to Rule 4 of	
19	the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts.	
20	Respondents will need to respond to the first amended petition.	
21	It is therefore ordered that petitioner's unopposed motion for extension of time to	
22	file amended § 2254 petition (third request) (ECF No. 13) is granted <u>nunc pro tunc</u> .	
23	It is further ordered that petitioner's motion to file a pleading in excess of thirty	
24	(30) pages (ECF No. 28) is granted.	
25	It is therefore ordered that respondents will have forty-five (45) days from the	
26	-	erwise respond to the first amended petition
27		potential affirmative defenses in the initial
28	responsive pleading, including lack of exha	austion and procedural default. Successive

1	motions to dismiss will not be entertained. If respondents file and serve an answer, then		
2	they must comply with Rule 5 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United		
3	States District Courts, and then petitioner will have forty-five (45) days from the date on		
4	which the answer is served to file a reply.		
5	DATED THIS 6 th day of June 2016.		
6	Λ (La)		
7	MIRANDA M. DU		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	2		