Doc. 52

Plaintiff has now asked the Court to clarify the procedural posture of the case. The Court interprets the motion as a motion for relief from judgment under Rule 60(a). The Court has lost jurisdiction to the Court of Appeals, however, and can only make an indicative ruling under Rule 62.1. If the Court of Appeals were to remand for the limited purpose of ruling on the present motion, the Court would rule that it made an oversight as contemplated under Rule 60(a) when it dismissed without leave to amend to make allegations of unlawful retaliation against the two proposed defendants.

## **CONCLUSION**

IT IS HEREBY INDICATED that the Court WOULD GRANT the Motion for Relief from Judgment (ECF No. 51) if the Court of Appeals were to remand for that purpose.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: This 17<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2017.

ROBERT C. VONES United States District Judge