Rhymes v. Aranas et al Doc. 10		
1 2 3	AARON D. FORD Attorney General DENNIS W. HOUGH, Bar No. #11995 Deputy Attorney General State of Nevada Public Safety Division	
4	100 N. Carson Street	
5	Carson City, NV 89701-4717 Tel: (775) 684-1254	
6	E-mail: dhough@ag.nv.gov	
7	Attorneys for Defendants Cynthia Sablica, Dwight Nevin	
8		
9	UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT
10	DISTRIC	T OF NEVADA
11	MICHAEL RHYMES,	Case No. 3:15-cy-00592-RCJ-CBC
12	Plaintiff,	JOINT PRE-TRIAL ORDER
13	v.	
14	C. ROWLEY, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16	 Following pretrial proceedings in this case, IT IS ORDERED: 	
17		
18	I. NATURE OF ACTION AND CONTEN	TIONS OF THE PARTIES
19	A. NATURE OF ACTION AND ID	DENTIFICATION OF PARTIES
20	This is an inmate civil rights action bro	ought by Michael Rhymes, (Rhymes) pursuant to 42
21	21 U.S.C. § 1983. Rhymes is a prisoner in the custody of the Nevada Department of Corrections (NDOC),	
22	22 currently housed at Lovelock Correctional Center (LCC). Rhymes is serving a life sentence with	
23	possibility for parole for lewdness with a minor.	
24	Rhymes submitted his First Amended ci	vil rights complaint on May 5, 2016, alleging, among
25	other things, that:	
26	Defendants Sablica and Nevin were deliberately	indifferent to Rhymes' serious medical condition by
27	failing to provide a prescribed medication for Rh	ymes' type 2 diabetes.
28	///	

1	5.	On January 26, 2014, Rhymes signed for Glipizide, Metformin, Hydrochlorothiazide,		
2		and Lisinopril.		
3	6.	On March 5, 2014 Rhymes requested refills on Glipizide, Metformin,		
4		Hydrochlorothiazide, and Lisinopril.		
5	7.	On March 13, 2014 Rhymes was prescribed Metformin.		
6	8.	On March 15, 2014 Rhymes signed receipt of Glipizide, Metformin,		
7		Hydrochlorothiazide, and Lisinopril.		
8	9.	On March 22, 2014 Rhymes requested refills for Glipizide, Metformin,		
9		Hydrochlorothiazide, and Lisinopril.		
10	10.	On March 23, 2014 Rhymes signed a receipt for Metformin		
11	11.	On a date uncertain Rhymes signed a receipt for Glipizide, Metformin,		
12		Hydrochlorothiazide, and Lisinopril.		
13	12.	On May 2, 2014, Rhymes signed for receipt of Metformin and Lisinopril.		
14	13.	On May 20, 2014 Rhymes submitted a kite noting he did not receive Glipizide or		
15		Hydrochlorothiazide.		
16	14.	On May 23, 2014 Rhymes signed a receipt for Metformin and Lisinopril.		
17	15.	On May 27, 2014 Rhymes' prescriptions for Glipizide, and Hydrochlorothiazide were		
18		renewed.		
19	16.	On May 29, 2014 at 6:00 a.m. Rhymes filed an informal grievance # 2006-29-79783		
20		alleging he did not receive Glipizide or Hydrochlorothiazide.		
21	17.	On May 29, 2014 Rhymes signed a receipt for Glipizide and Hydrochlorothiazide.		
22	18.	On June 27, 2014 Rhymes requested refills of Glipizide, Metformin, Hydrochlorothiazide,		
23		and Lisinopril.		
24	19.	On June 28, 2014 Rhymes signed a receipt for Glipizide, Metformin, Hydrochlorothiazide		
25		and Lisinopril.		
26	20.	On July 28, 2014 Rhymes requested refills of Glipizide, Metformin,		
27		Hydrochlorothiazide and Lisinopril.		
28	///			
		3		

<u> </u>	CONTESTED ISS HES OF FACT TO BE TRIED AND DETERMINED UPON TRIAL
	A. PLAINTIFF'S CONTESTED FACTS.
	REFERENCE
	(111_UNCONTESTED_FACTS_ADMITTED_BY THE PACTIES WHICH REQUICE NO PROOF)
	NUMBER 11-19
	WHILE IN DISCIPLINARY SEGREGATION THE NURSE IS ESCORTED BY
	N. COREFEEDAN OFFICER BEFORE THE PLAINTIFF COULD RECIEVE ANY
_	K.O.P. MEDICATION PLAINTIEF MUST SIGN SLIP FIRST BEFORE RECIEVING
	ANY MEDICATION
	IN APRIL 2014, MAY 2014, AND DINE 2014 WHILE IN DISCIPLINARY
	SEGREGATION_AETER_SIGNING_FUL MEDICATION_EACH_TIME_PLAINTIEF_REALIZE
-	THAT ON EACH MONTH PLAINTIFF RECIEVE A DOUBLE OLDER OF METFORMIN
	HELSOPMES AND A DOUBLE OCDER OF LEINOPRIL 20MES THREE MONTHS
-	IN A ROW,
	THE PLAINTIFF IMMERIATELY INFORMED THE NURSE EACH TIME.
_	IN THNE 2014 PLAINTIFE FILE A GRIEVANCE, THEN AFTER 90-DAYS
_	PLAINTIFF RECIEVE MEDICATION, ONLY ONCE FROM JUNE 2014 TO
-	TULY 2014 PLAINTIFF RECIEVED ALL MEDICATIONS.
-	AUGUST 2014 AND SEDTEMBER 2014 PLAINTIFF RECIEVED AWOTHER
-	DOUBLE ORDER OF METFORMIN AND LISINGPOLL AFTER FILING AN ADDITIONAL
-	GRIEVANCE DIAINTIFF WAS FINALLY SEEN BY HOSP DOCTOR AND PLAINTIFF
•	RECIEVED A PHYSICAL AFTER ANOTHER GO DAY WITHOUT GLIPIZIDE AND
-	HYDROCHLOROTHIAZIDE,
-	PLAINTIEP INFORMED DOCTOR AND NURSE THAT HE WAS CHRONIC CAR
	DIEBETIC, PLAINTIFF REQUESTED THAT HIS BLOOD SUGAR BE TESTED.
	DOLTOP AND NURSE STATED THAT THEY DID NUT HAVE THE PROPER
_	FAUIPMENT TO TEST MY BLOOD SUGAR LEVEL. PLAINTIFE BLOUD
	SUGAR WAS DURING ENTIRE TIME AT HOSP.

CONTESTED ISSUES OF FACT TO BE TRIED AND DETERMINED UPPN TRIAL
A. PLAINTIFF'S CONTESTED FACTS.
REFERENCE
VI CONTESTED ISSNES OF LAW TO BE TRIED AND DETERMINED UPON TRIAL
B. DEFENDANTS
. YES, PLAINTIFE STH AMENDMENT RIGHTS WERE UIDLATE BECAUSE
HE WAS DELIBERLITY DENIED MEDICATIONS AND NOOL BLATENTLY
SHOWED NEELECT BY NOT CHECKING PLAINTIFE BLOOD SUGAR.
ROJES, PLAINTIFF IS ENTITLED TO COMPENSATORY DAMAGE HOWARDS
\$150,000 BECAUSE THE NOOL BOTH KNEW THE PLAINTIFF'S
MEDICAL PROBLEMS, AND THEN FAIL TO ADDRESS THEM PROPERLY
AND TIMELY . PLAINTIFF ALSO HAS A RARE EVE DISFASE
THAT THE NOOC HAS NEGLECTED, WHICH HAS MADE
PLAINTIFF MEDICAL CUNDITION WORSE.
3. AS TO IS THE PLAINTIFF ENTITLED TO PUINTINE DAMAGES
THE NOOL DECIDED TO STOP ALL PLAINTIEF'S EVE
TREATMENT SINCE 2017, WHICH IS RETALIATION FOR
FILING BOTH GRIEVANCE AND U.S.C. 1983
WITNESS)
A. PLAINTIFF'S WITNESSES: 5. KEVIN GAMETT M.D.
2. REQUEST ON DUTY PHYSICIAN (SEPT. 2014) JUHN DOE.
من مستخدم به المستخدم بين المستخدم بين المستخدم بين المستخدم بين المستخدم بين المستخدم والمستخدم المستخدم المست
2 REDUCE A DE LE COMPENSIONE DE LE
II DEKELUMEST AN DUTV NUPSE ISTRATE DAILI TANIE UNE.
3. REQUEST ON DUTY NUESE (SERT: 2014) JANE DOE.
4. MICHAEL FISCHER OPHTHALMOLOGYST

	VII EXHIBITS
C.	PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBITS
<u></u>	
	REQUEST THAT JUDGE ROBERT C. JONES BE REQUESED
	FROM THIS CASE BECAUSE OF MULTIPLE BIAS RULING.
· <u>·</u> ··································	HAINES V. KERNER, YOU U.S. 519, 520-521, 92 5. CT. 594 (1972)
	WHERE A PLANTIFF SHOULD BE HELD TO A LESS STRINGENT
	PLEADING_STANDARD_THEN_A_REPRESENTED_PARTY"
Ar	JUDGE ROBERT C. JONES HAS RULED FOR DISMISSLE OF
. <u></u>	CASE NUMBER: 317-CV-00678-RCJ-CBC
	MICHAEL RHYMES V. SHANNON MOYLE, ET AL,
	REFALLATORY TRANFER DIRECTLY RELATED
	TO MY RHYMES VARANAS 3:15-CV-00592-RCJ-CBC
	1983 CIVIL SUIT.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	· · ·
-	

Case 3:17-cv-00678-RCJ-CBC Document 10 Filed 08/13/19 Page 1 of 1

AO450 (NVD Rev 2/18) Judgment in a Civil Case

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

MICHAEL RHYMES,

JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE

Case Number: 3:17-cv-00678-RCJ-CBC

v.

SHANNON MOYLE, et al.,

Defendants.

Plaintiff.

- _____ Jury Verdict. This action came before the Court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and the jury has rendered its verdict.
- ____ Decision by Court. This action came to trial or hearing before the Court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision has been rendered.
- **Decision by Court.** This action came for consideration before the Court. The issues have been considered and a decision has been rendered.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the first amended complaint is dismissed with prejudice for failure to state a claim.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that an appeal would be taken in good faith.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that judgment is hereby entered and this case is closed.

August 13, 2019 Date

<u>DEBRA K. KEMPI</u> Clerk



/s/ L. Haywood Deputy Clerk

DR. ROMEO ARANAS WAS NAMED IN SECOND AMENDED CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT. WHICH WAS NO OPPOSITION FROM ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE UNTIL SEVERAL MONTHS LATER U.S. MA GISTRATE JUDGE AND ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE REQUEST THAT JUDGE ROBERT C. JONES DISMISS DEFENDANT ARANAS ON NEW 1983 COMPLAINT.

Case33155cov005992FRCJ2WARC Dacamach 7761 Filied028258178 Flagge1106122

Michael Bhymes	
Name P.D. Box 700D (N.N.C.C.)	
Carson City, NY	
# 78/15	
Prison Number	
	ES DISTRICT COURT CT OF NEVADA
Michael Rhymes Plaintiff,)
VS.) CASE NO. <u>3:15-02-00592-RQT-VPC</u>
Bomes Alarois) (To be supplied by the Clerk)
Gree C.ox) Second Amended) CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT
D.W. Neven) PURSUANT TO) 42 U.S.C. § 1983
Cynthia Saplinca] Jury Trial Demanded
John/Jone Does 1-5 Defendant(s).))
АПТ	DISDICTION

A. JURISDICTION

1) This complaint alleges that the civil rights of Plaintiff, <u>Michael Bhymes</u> (Print Plaintiff's name)

who presently resides at Northern Newson Correctional Center, were

violated by the actions of the below named individuals which were directed against

Plaintiff at <u>H.D.S.P in Tradion Springs, NV</u> on the following dates (institution/city where violation occurred)

 3-11-14-to Sept. 2014_, 3-11-14-to Sept. 2014_, and _____

 (Count I)
 (Count II)

JUDGE ROBERT C. TONES HAS DENIED COUNSEL TWICE BEFORE SUMMARY JUDGEMENT AND AFTER, PLAINTIFF WAS GRANTED IN PART AND DENIED IN PART SUMMARY JUDGEMENT JUDGE JONES RULED IN FAUOR OF REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION WITHOUT GIVENG PLAINTIFF TOME TO DBTAIN COUNSE(TO REFUTE CERTAIN ISSUES. (3-12-19) PLAINTIFF HAS EXPRESSED TO THE COURT HE HAS SEVERE EVE DAMAGE WHICH HENDER PLAINTIFE ABILITY TO EFFET PROCEED ... IN PRO SE

lcclawlibrary - Activity in Case 3:15-cv-00592-RCJ-CBC Rhymes v. Aranas et al Order on Motion for Summary Judgment

From:	<cmecf@nvd.uscourts.gov></cmecf@nvd.uscourts.gov>
To:	<cmecfhelpdesk@nvd.uscourts.gov></cmecfhelpdesk@nvd.uscourts.gov>
Date:	3/12/2019 12:01 PM
Subject:	Activity in Case 3:15-cv-00592-RCJ-CBC Rhymes v. Aranas et al Order on Motion for
•	Summary Judgment

This is an automatic e-mail message generated by the CM/ECF system. Please DO NOT RESPOND to this e-mail because the mail box is unattended. ***NOTE TO PUBLIC ACCESS USERS*** There is no charge for viewing opinions.

United States District Court

District of Nevada

Notice of Electronic Filing

 The following transaction was entered on 3/12/2019 at 12:00 PM PDT and filed on 3/12/2019

 Case Name:
 Rhymes v. Aranas et al

 Case Number:
 3:15-cv-00592-RCJ-CBC

 Filer:
 Document Number: 92

Docket Text:

ORDER that Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation ECF No. [89] is ADOPTED and ACCEPTED; Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment ECF No. [67] is GRANTED IN PART AND DENIED IN PART; the deliberate indifference claims against Defendants, Neven and Sablica, of the Second Amended Complaint be allowed to PROCEED; the supervisory liability claim regarding the enforcement of NDOC regulations against Defendant Neven, of the Second Amended Complaint be DISMISSED; the official capacity claims against Defendants Neven and Sablica, of the Second Amended Complaint are DISMISSED; qualified immunity is DENIED; Clerk directed to enter judgment accordingly. Signed by Judge Robert C. Jones on 3/12/2019. (Copies have been distributed pursuant to the NEF - KW)

3:15-cv-00592-RCJ-CBC Notice has been electronically mailed to:

Lovelock Correctional Center lcclawlibrary@doc.nv.gov

Dennis W Hough dhough@ag.nv.gov, akinseygoldy@ag.nv.gov, khodges@ag.nv.gov

3:15-cv-00592-RCJ-CBC Notice has been delivered by other means to:

The following document(s) are associated with this transaction:

Case 3:15-cv-00592-RCJ-CBC Document 93 Filed 03/12/19 Page 1 of 2

AO450 (NVD Rev. 2/18) Judgment in a Civil Case

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

MICHAEL RHYMES,

٧.

JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE

• •

Plaintiff,

Case Number: 3:15-cv-00592-RCJ-CBC

NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, et al.

Defendants.

- _____ Jury Verdict. This action came before the Court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and the jury has rendered its verdict.
- Declsion by Court. This action came to trial or hearing before the Court. The issues have been tried
 or heard and a decision has been rendered.
- <u>X</u> Decision by Court. This action came for consideration before the Court. The issues have been considered and a decision has been rendered.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 89) entered on January 28, 2019, is ADOPTED and ACCEPTED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment (ECF No. 67) is GRANTED IN PART AND DENIED IN PART.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED D that the deliberate indifference claims against Defendants, Neven and Sablica, of the Second Amended Complaint be allowed to PROCEED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the supervisory liability claim regarding the enforcement of NDOC regulations against Defendant Neven, of the Second Amended Complaint be DISMISSED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the official capacity claims against Defendants Neven and Sablica, of the Second Amended Complaint are DISMISSED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that qualified immunity is DENIED

UNITED STATES DISTRI	CT CCOURT
----------------------	-----------

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

MICHAEL RHYMES,

NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF

CORRECTIONS, et al.,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

vs.

Plaintiff,

Defendants.

Case No.: 3:15-CV-00592-RCJ-CBC ORDER

13 Before the Court is the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate 14 Judge Caria B. Carry (ECF No. 89¹) entered on January 28, 2019, recommending that 15 the Court grant and deny in part Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment (ECF No. 16 67). No objection to the Report and Recommendation has been filled. 17 18 This action was referred to Magistrate Judge Carry under 28 U.S.C. § 19 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule IB 1-4 of the Rules of Practice of the United States District 20 Court for the District of Nevada. 21 The Court has considered the pleadings and memoranda of the parties and other 22 23 relevant matters of record pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule IB 3-2. 24 The Court determines that the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation (ECF 25 No. 89) entered on January 28, 2019, should be adopted and accepted. 26 /// 27

28

¹ Refers to Court's docket number.

Case 3:15-cv-00592-RCJ-CBC Document 96 Filed 04/17/19 Page 1 of 2

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

)

)

MICHAEL RHYMES,

3:15-CV-0592-RCJ-CBC

Plaintiff,

,

MINUTES OF THE COURT

VS.

April 17, 2019

NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, et al.,

Defendants.

PRESENT: THE HONORABLE CARLA BALDWIN CARRY, U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DEPUTY CLERK: _____ LISA MANN _____ REPORTER: NONE APPEARING

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF(S): NONE APPEARING

COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT(S): NONE APPEARING

MINUTE ORDER IN CHAMBERS:

This is a civil rights action brought by *pro se* prisoner plaintiff Michael Rhymes. Plaintiff has moved for appointment of counsel (ECF No. 94). Defendants' opposed the motion (ECF No. 95). No reply was filed.

A litigant in a civil rights action does not have a Sixth Amendment right to appointed counsel. *Storseth v. Spellman*, 654 F.2d 1349, 1353 (9th Cir. 1981). The Supreme Court has ruled that district courts lack authority to require counsel to represent indigent prisoners in § 1983 cases. *Mallard v. U.S. Dist. Court for the S. Dist. of Iowa*, 490 U.S. 296 (1989). in only "exceptional circumstances," the court may request voluntary assistance of counsel pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). *Palmer v. Valdez*, 560 F.3d 965, 970 (9th Cir. 2009). Without a reasonable method of securing and compensating counsel, this court will seek volunteer counsel only in the most serious and exceptional cases. A finding of such exceptional circumstances requires that the court evaluate both the likelihood of success on the merits and the pro se litigant's ability to articulate his claims in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved. Neither factor is controlling; both must be viewed together in making the finding. *Cano v. Taylor*, 739 F.3d 1214, 1218 (9th Cir. 2014). The court exercises discretion in making this finding. *Id.* (citing *Palmer*, 560 F.3d at 970).

in the present case, the court does not find the required exceptional circumstances. Even if it is assumed that plaintiff is not well versed in the law and that he has made serious allegations which, if proved, would entitle him to relief, his case is not exceptional.

1	B.	STIPULATED EXHIBITS AS TO AUTHENTICITY BUT NOT ADMISSIBILITY	
2	1.	None.	
3	С.	PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBITS SUBJECT TO OBJECTIONS	
4	1.		
5	2.		
6	3.		
7	4.		
8	D.	DEFENDANTS' EXHIBITS SUBJECT TO OBJECTIONS	
9	1.	Plaintiff's Medical file;	
10	2.	Plaintiff's Movement History Report;	
11	3.	Plaintiff's housing history report;	
12	4.	Plaintiff's Grievance file, including all relevant grievances;	
13	5.	Certified Judgment(s) of Conviction of Plaintiff for underlying offense (only if needed	
14	for impeachm	ent);	
15	6.	Plaintiff's Institutional File ("I-File");	
16	7.	Plaintiff's NDOC Central File ("C-File");	
17	8.	Law Library Logs;	
18	9.	Legal Mail Logs for relevant time period;	
19	10.	Law Library Brass Slips;	
20	11.	Plaintiff's Case Notes as maintained on the NDOC NOTIS system from 2014 to Present.	
21	12.	All prison logs, registers, documents, or other form of data pertaining to any and all of	
22	Plaintiff's claims, especially any unauthorized mail logs or mail logs.		
23	13.	Any and all other exhibits that may support the statements of fact and law cited herein	
24	and to rebut Plaintiff's statements, claims, and testimony.		
25	14.	Any and all other exhibits that rebut witnesses that might be called to respond to claims	
26	made by either Plaintiff or any of his proposed witnesses;		
27	Е.	DEPOSITIONS	
28	1.	None.	
		5	

_

1	IX.	AVAILABLE TRIAL DATES
2		Plaintiff and Defendants' Counsel expressly understand that the Clerk shall set the trial of this
3	matter	at the convenience of the Court's calendar. A jury has been requested.
4		The following are three weeks in which both parties are available:
5		The week of February 3
6		The week of February 10
7		The week of February 24
8	X .	TIME EXPECTED FOR TRIAL
9		It is estimated that the trial herein will take a total of 2-3 days.
10		APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT:
11		AARON D. FORD Attorney General
12		Anomey General
13		
14	By: <	By: By: DENNIS W. HOUGH NVBAR # 11995
15	P	aintiff, Pro Se Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Defendants
16		
17		
18		case is set for jury trial on stacked calendar on February 10, 2020 at 8:30 a.m. in Reno Courtroom 3 re Judge Robert C. Jones. Calendar Call will take place on Monday, February 3, 2020 at 10:00
19		in Reno Courtroom 3 before Judge Robert C. Jones.
20		IT IS SO ORDERED
21		$\cap \cap$
22		(Janes
23		Robert C. Jones
24		United States District Judge
25		Dated:— November 1, 2019.
26		
27		
28		
		7
	ĺ	

1 2	AARON D. FORD Attorney General DENNIS W. HOUGH, BAR NO. 11995		
3	Deputy Attorney General State of Nevada		
4	Public Safety Division 100 N. Carson Street		
5	Carson City, NV 89701-4717 Tel: (775) 684-1254 E-mail: dhough@ag.nv.gov		
6	Attorneys for Defendants		
7	Cynthia Sablica, and Dwight Nevin		
8		CS DISTRICT COURT	
9		T OF NEVADA	
10	MICHAEL RHYMES,	Case No. 3:15-cv-00592-RCJ-CBC	
11	Plaintiff,		
12 13	v. C. ROWLEY, <i>et al.</i> ,	PARTIES' PROPOSED JOINT PRETRIAL DISCLOSURES PURSUANT TO FED. R. CIV. P. 26(a)(3) (JOINT PRETRIAL ORDER)	
13	Defendants.		
14			
15	Following pretrial proceedings in this cas IT IS ORDERED:	e,	
17	I. NATURE OF ACTION AND CONTEN	NTIONS OF THE PARTIES	
18		DENTIFICATION OF PARTIES	
19	This is an inmate civil rights action bro	ought by Michael Rhymes, (Rhymes) pursuant to 42	
20	U.S.C. § 1983. Rhymes is a prisoner in the custo	bdy of the Nevada Department of Corrections (NDOC),	
21	currently housed at Lovelock Correctional Cer	nter (LCC). Rhymes is serving a life sentence with	
22	possibility for parole for lewdness with a minor.		
23	Rhymes submitted his First Amended civil rights complaint on May 5, 2016, alleging, among		
24	other things, that:		
25	Defendants Sablica and Nevin were deliberately indifferent to Rhymes' serious medical condition by		
26	failing to provide a prescribed medication for Rhymes' type 2 diabetes.		
27	///		
28	///		

2

3

4

5

7

8

В.

CONTENTIONS OF THE PARTIES

1. Plaintiff's Contentions

Rhymes contends that Sablica failed to respond to his requests for the previously prescribed medication, and that both Sablica and Nevin were aware of the situation because he spoke to each of them personally about the failure to provide the prescribed medication.

6

2. Defendant's Contentions

Defendants contend that the evidence does not support Plaintiff's allegations. Defendants incorporate any Affirmative Defenses from the Answer as it pertains to Defendants Sablica and Nevin. Defendants deny that Plaintiff's constitutional rights have been violated.

9 10

11

12

13

C. RELIEF SOUGHT

Plaintiff seeks compensatory damages of \$150,000 and punitive damages in the amount of \$75,000 dollars. Plaintiff also seeks a permanent injunction prohibiting High Desert State Prison from going more than 72 hours to provide prescribed medication.

14

15

16

17

18

II.

STATEMENT OF JURISDICTION

This is a civil action commenced under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331.

III. UNCONTESTED FACTS ADMITTED BY THE PARTIES WHICH REQUIRE NO PROOF

19 1. Rhymes is, and at all times herein was, an inmate in the custody of the NDOC, currently
 20 residing at Lovelock Correctional Center. Rhymes was incarcerated at all times relevant to the
 21 Complaint.

22 23

- 2. Defendants Sablica, and Nevin were, during the events in question, employees of the NDOC.
- 24 3. On December 2013, Rhymes was prescribed Hydrochlorothiazide 25 mg, Metformen
 25 HCL 500mg, Lisinopril 20mg, and Glipizide 5mg.

26 4. On January 22, 2014, Rhymes requested refills on Glipizide, Metformin,
27 Hydrochlorothiazide, and Lisinopril.

28 ////

1	5. On January 26, 2014, Rhymes signed for Glipizide, Metformin, Hydrochlorothiazide,		
2	and Lisinopril.		
3	6. On March 5, 2014 Rhymes requested refills on Glipizide, Metformin,		
4	Hydrochlorothiazide, and Lisinopril.		
5	7. On March 13, 2014 Rhymes was prescribed Metformin.		
6	8. On March 15, 2014 Rhymes signed receipt of Glipizide, Metformin,		
7	Hydrochlorothiazide, and Lisinopril.		
8	9. On March 22, 2014 Rhymes requested refills for Glipizide, Metformin,		
9	Hydrochlorothiazide, and Lisinopril.		
10	10. On March 23, 2014 Rhymes signed a receipt for Metformin		
11	11. On a date uncertain Rhymes signed a receipt for Glipizide, Metformin,		
12	Hydrochlorothiazide, and Lisinopril.		
13	12. On May 2, 2014, Rhymes signed for receipt of Metformin and Lisinopril.		
14	13. On May 20, 2014 Rhymes submitted a kite noting he did not receive Glipizide or		
15	Hydrochlorothiazide.		
16	14. On May 23, 2014 Rhymes signed a receipt for Metformin and Lisinopril.		
17	15. On May 27, 2014 Rhymes' prescriptions for Glipizide, and Hydrochlorothiazide were		
18	renewed.		
19	16. On May 29, 2014 at 6:00 a.m. Rhymes filed an informal grievance # 2006-29-79783		
20	alleging he did not receive Glipizide or Hydrochlorothiazide.		
21	17. On May 29, 2014 Rhymes signed a receipt for Glipizide and Hydrochlorothiazide.		
22	18. On June 27, 2014 Rhymes requested refills of Glipizide, Metformin, Hydrochlorothiazide,		
23	and Lisinopril.		
24	19. On June 28, 2014 Rhymes signed a receipt for Glipizide, Metformin, Hydrochlorothiazide		
25	and Lisinopril.		
26	20. On July 28, 2014 Rhymes requested refills of Glipizide, Metformin,		
27	Hydrochlorothiazide and Lisinopril.		
28	///		
	3		

1		21.	On	August	3,	2014	Rhymes	signed	а	receipt	for	Glipizide,	Metformin,
2	Hydrochlorothiazide and Lisinopril.												
3	22. On a date uncertain, while still residing at HDSP, Rhymes signed a receipt for Glipizide												
4	and Hydrochlorothiazide.												
5	IV.	FAC	FS UN		ГED	THAT	WILL N	OT BE C	CON	TESTE	D		
6		The following facts, though not admitted, will not be contested at trial by evidence to the											
7	contrary: NONE												
8	V. CONTESTED ISSUES OF FACT TO BE TRIED AND DETERMINED UPON TRIAL												
9		А.	PLA	INTIFF'	S CO	ONTE	STED FAC	CTS					
10	See First Amended Complaint.												
11		В.	DEF	FENDAN	T'S	CONT	ESTED FA	ACTS					
12		1.	Whe	ther Plair	tiff l	nas met	his burden	of produ	icin	g evidenc	e sup	porting the f	acts set forth
13	above, i.e. whether he can prove that Defendant Sablica or Nevin were aware of his medical condition												
14	and deliberately and maliciously ignored that situation, resulting in injury to Plaintiff.												
15		2.	Whe	ther any	form	of dan	nages is ava	ailable to	Pla	intiff for	any c	leliberate ind	difference on
16	the part of Defendants Sablica or Nevin.												
17	VI. CONTESTED ISSUES OF LAW TO BE TRIED AND DETERMINED UPON TRIAL								TRIAL				
18		А.	PLA	INTIFF									
19		1.	Whe	ther or no	ot De	fendan	ts Sablica a	and Nevi	n we	ere delibe	erately	v indifferent	to Plaintiff's
20	serious medical issues.												
21		B.	DEF	FENDAN	ТS								
22		1.	Did	Sablica or	Nev	vin viol	ate Plaintif	f's consti	ituti	onal right	ts?		
23		2.	As a	matter of	law	, is Plai	ntiff entitle	ed to com	pen	satory da	mage	s of \$150,00	0?
24		3.	As a	matter of	law	, is Plai	ntiff entitle	ed to puni	itive	e damages	s of \$´	75,000?	
25	VII. EXHIBITS												
26		А.	STI	PULATE	D E	XHIBI	TS AS TO	AUTHE	ENT	ICITY A	AND A	ADMISSIB	ILITY
27		1.	Mr.	Rhymes N	Aedi	cal Kite	es and KOF	Medicat	tion	Log.			
28		2.	Prog	ress Note	s and	l Physi	cians Order	rs related	to I	Mr. Rhyn	nes ma	aintained by	NDOC.
							4	4					

1	B.	STIPULATED EXHIBITS AS TO AUTHENTICITY BUT NOT ADMISSIBILITY			
2	1.	None.			
3	C.	PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBITS SUBJECT TO OBJECTIONS			
4	1.				
5	2.				
6	3.				
7	4.				
8	D.	DEFENDANTS' EXHIBITS SUBJECT TO OBJECTIONS			
9	1.	Plaintiff's Medical file;			
10	2.	Plaintiff's Movement History Report;			
11	3.	Plaintiff's housing history report;			
12	4.	Plaintiff's Grievance file, including all relevant grievances;			
13	5.	Certified Judgment(s) of Conviction of Plaintiff for underlying offense (only if needed			
14	for impeachment);				
15	6.	Plaintiff's Institutional File ("I-File");			
16	7.	Plaintiff's NDOC Central File ("C-File");			
17	8.	Law Library Logs;			
18	9.	Legal Mail Logs for relevant time period;			
19	10.	Law Library Brass Slips;			
20	11.	Plaintiff's Case Notes as maintained on the NDOC NOTIS system from 2014 to Present.			
21	12.	All prison logs, registers, documents, or other form of data pertaining to any and all of			
22	Plaintiff's claims, especially any unauthorized mail logs or mail logs.				
23	13.	Any and all other exhibits that may support the statements of fact and law cited herein			
24	and to rebut P	laintiff's statements, claims, and testimony.			
25	14.	Any and all other exhibits that rebut witnesses that might be called to respond to claims			
26	made by eithe	er Plaintiff or any of his proposed witnesses;			
27	Е.	DEPOSITIONS			
28	1.	None.			
		5			

1	VIII. W	ITNESSES					
2	A.	PLAINTIFF'S WITNESSES:					
3	Plaintiff wishes to reserve the right to call the following persons:						
4	1.	Himself.					
5	2.						
6	3.						
7	B.	DEFENDANT'S WITNESSES:					
8	De	efendants wish to reserve the right to call the following persons:					
9	1.	Plaintiff Michael Rhymes, Inmate, Lovelock Correctional Center;					
10	2.	Defendant Cynthia Sablica, c/o Dennis W. Hough, Office of Attorney General, 100 N.					
11	Carson St. Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717.						
12	3.	Defendant Dwight Nevin, c/o Dennis W. Hough, Office of Attorney General, 100 N.					
13	Carson St. Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717.						
14	4.	Michael Koehn, c/o Dennis W. Hough, Office of Attorney General, 100 N. Carson St.					
15	Carson Ci	ty, Nevada 89701-4717.					
16	5.	Custodian(s) of Record for any exhibits identified above, c/o Dennis W. Hough, Office					
17	of the Atte	orney General, 100 N. Carson Street, Carson City, NV 89701-4717, (775) 684-1100.					
18	6.	Any and all rebuttal witnesses that might be called to respond to claims made by either					
19	Plaintiff o	r any of his witnesses.					
20	7.	Any and all other witnesses that have personal knowledge supporting Defendant's					
21	statements	s of fact or law cited herein.					
22	8.	All witnesses identified by Plaintiff, whether or not called to testify at trial.					
23	Plaintiff a	nd Defendants reserve the right to interpose objections to the calling of any named witness					
24	listed abo	ve prior to or at trial.					
25	IX. A	VAILABLE TRIAL DATES					
26	Pla	aintiff and Defendants' Counsel expressly understand that the Clerk shall set the trial of this					
27	matter at t	he convenience of the Court's calendar. A jury has been requested.					
28	///						
		6					

1	The following are three weeks in which both parties are available:							
2	The week of February 3							
3	The week of February 10							
4	The week of February 24							
5	X. TIME EXPECTED FOR TRIAL							
6	It is estimated that the trial herein will take a total of 2–3 days.							
7	DATED this 22nd day of October, 2019.							
8	APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT:							
9								
10								
11	MICHAEL RHYMES, #78115 Plaintiff Pro Se							
12								
13	AARON D. FORD Attorney General							
14								
15	/s/ Dennis W. Hough DENNIS W. HOUGH, BAR NO. 11995							
16	Deputy Attorney General							
17	Attorneys for Defendants							
18	XI. ACTION BY THE COURT							
19	This case is set for jury trial on stacked calendar on February 10, 2020 at 8:30 a.m. in Reno Courtroom 3 before Judge Robert C. Jones. Calendar Call will take place on Monday, February 3, 2020 at 10:00							
20	a.m. in Reno Courtroom 3 before Judge Robert C. Jones.							
21	IT IS SO ORDERED							
22								
23								
24	Robert C. Jones							
25	United States District Judge							
26	Dated:							
27								
28								
	7							

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE						
2	I certify that I am an employee of the Office of the Attorney General, State of Nevada, and that						
3	on this 22nd day of October 2019, I caused to be deposited for mailing a true and correct copy of the						
4	forgoing, PARTIES' PROPOSED JOINT PRETRIAL DISCLOSURES PURSUANT TO FED. R.						
5	CIV. P. 26(a)(3) (JOINT PRETRIAL ORDER), to the following:						
6							
7	Michael Rhymes #78115 Care of LCC Law Librarian						
8	Lovelock Correctional Center 1200 Prison Road						
9	Lovelock, Nevada 89419 <u>lcclawlibrary@doc.nv.gov</u>						
10							
11							
12	/s/ Perla M. Hernandez						
13	An employee of the Office of the Attorney General						
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							
26							
27							
28							
	8						
	0						