

1 2 3 4 5

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

9 GARY CRAIG ROSALES,  
10 Petitioner,  
11 vs.  
12 Q. BYRNE, et al.,  
13 Respondents.

Case No. 3:16-cv-00003-RCJ-WGC

## ORDER

15        Respondents have filed a motion for leave to supplement respondent's motion to dismiss  
16 with new authority (ECF No. 31). In petitioner's response (ECF No. 33), he notes that he does not  
17 oppose respondents' motion, but he wants the opportunity to respond to the legal arguments in the  
18 notice of supplemental authorities (ECF No. 32). The court will grant respondents' motion and  
19 allow further briefing.

20 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that respondents' motion for leave to supplement  
21 respondent's motion to dismiss with new authority (ECF No. 31) is **GRANTED**. Petitioner shall  
22 have fourteen (14) days from the date of entry of this order to file and serve a response to the notice  
23 of supplemental authorities (ECF No. 32). Respondents shall have seven (7) days from the date of  
24 filing and service of petitioner's response to file a reply.

25 || DATED: This 20<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2017.

  
ROBERT C. JONES  
United States District Judge