1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES I	DISTRICT COURT	
9	DISTRICT (OF NEVADA	
10			
11	ERICA POOL,)	3:16-cv-00019-HDM-VPC	
12	Plaintiff,)	ORDER	
13	VS.)		
14	GAIL WILLEY LANDSCAPING, INC.,) GAIL WILLEY and DOES 1-50,)		
15) Defendant.)		
16)		
17	And related counterclaims.)		
18	Before the court is defendants' motion for partial judgment on		
19	the pleadings (ECF No. 35). Plaintiff has responded (ECF No. 37),		
20	and defendants have replied (ECF No. 39).		
21	Plaintiff's complaint asserts eight causes of action: (1)		
22	Count I - sex and religious discrimination pursuant to Title VII,		
23	42 U.S.C. § 2000e et seq., 42 U.S.C. § 1981 et seq., and Nev. Rev.		
24	Stat. § 613.330 et seq.; (2) Count II - retaliation pursuant to		
25 26	Title VII 42 U.S.C. § 2000e et seq., 42 U.S.C. § 1981 et seq., and Nev. Rev. Stat. § 613.330 et seq.; (3) Count III - tortious		
26 27			
27 28	discharge; (4) Count IV - failure to pay overtime in violation of		
20			
	1		

1 the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq., and Nev. Rev. Stat. § 608.018; (5) Count V - failure to pay for each 2 3 hour worked in violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq., and Nev. Rev. Stat. § 608.018; (6) Count 4 5 VI - failure to provide periods for meal and rest in violation of Nev. Rev. Stat. § 608.019; (7) Count VII - willful failure/refusal 6 7 to pay wages in violation of Nev. Rev. Stat. § 608.190; and (8) 8 Count IX - waiting time penalties in violation of Nev. Rev. Stat. § 9 608.040. The prayer for relief also seeks damages pursuant to 42 10 U.S.C. § 1983.

11 In his motion, the defendant Gail Willey seeks a judgment of 12 dismissal, arguing that although he was named as a defendant, none 13 of the claims are pleaded against him individually. He further 14 argues that even if the claims had been pled against him 15 individually, the discrimination claims in Counts I and II cannot 16 stand against him because discrimination claims can be brought only 17 against employers and not against individuals. In addition, both 18 defendants assert that plaintiff's claims under 42 U.S.C. § 1981 19 and 1983 are not tenable given the allegations of the complaint, 20 that plaintiff's claim of tortious discharge is not cognizable 21 because she has an adequate statutory remedy, and that plaintiff's claims under Chapter 608 of the Nevada Revised Statutes are not 22 23 tenable because there is no private right of action to enforce the 24 labor statutes therein.

Plaintiff does not oppose defendants' motion, but requests that most of the claims be dismissed without prejudice. Defendants in response request that the court enter partial judgment in their favor on all claims set forth in the pleading.

2

Although named as a defendant, Gail Willey has not been named in any cause of action in the complaint. Accordingly, this action is hereby **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE** as to defendant Gail Willey except as to the claims dismissed with prejudice as hereinafter set forth.

Plaintiff's claims of religious and sexual discrimination and
retaliation in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 1981 are not cognizable, as
§ 1981 applies only to claims of racial discrimination. See Runyon
v. McCrary, 427 U.S. 160, 167 (1976). Therefore, those portions of
Counts I and II asserting a claim under § 1981 are DISMISSED WITH
PREJUDICE as to both defendant Gail Willey and defendant Gail
Willey Landscaping, Inc.

Section 1983 of Title 42 of the United States Code applies only to state actors. Am. Mfrs. Mut. Ins. Co. v. Sullivan, 526 U.S. 40, 50 (1999). Plaintiff concedes that defendants are not state actors and that this claim is properly dismissed. Accordingly, plaintiff's request for damages under § 1983 is **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE** as to both defendant Gail Willey and defendant Gail Willey Landscaping, Inc.

20 Plaintiff's claim of tortious discharge based on her refusal 21 to participate in certain religious practices is not cognizable because she has adequate statutory remedies for this claim. 22 See 23 Chavez v. Sievers, 43 P.3d 1022, 1026 (Nev. 2002); 42 U.S.C. § 24 2000e et seq.; Nev. Rev. Stat. § 613.330 et seq. Plaintiff 25 stipulates that this claim should be dismissed. Accordingly, Count 26 III is **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE** as to both defendant Gail Willey 27 and defendant Gail Willey Landscaping, Inc.

28

Finally, plaintiff agrees that there is no private right to

1	enforce the claims she has brought under Chapter 608 of the Nevada		
2	Revised Statutes. Accordingly, Counts V, VI, VII, and IX, and that		
3	part of Count IV asserting a claim under Nev. Rev. Stat. § 608.018,		
4	are DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE .		
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
6	DATED: This 4th day of January, 2017.		
7	Howard SMEKikhun		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16 17			
17			
18 19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	4		
	т		