Anderson v. NDOC et al Doc. 76

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 3 JOSEPH M. ANDERSON. CASE NO.: 3:16-CV-00056-RCJ-WGC Plaintiff, 4 **ORDER** 5 v. JAMES "GREG" COX, et al., 6 7 Defendants. 8 9 Before the Court is the Reports and Recommendations of U.S. Magistrate Judge (ECF #66¹) 10 entered on August 17, 2017, recommending that the Court grant Defendants' Motion For Summary 11 Judgment (ECF No. 27), EXCEPT as to the retaliation claim against Defendant Parks. On August 24, 12 2017, Plaintiff filed his Objections to Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 69) and Defendants filed 13 their Opposition to Plaintiff's Objections to Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 72) on September 9, 2017. 14 15 The Court has conducted it's *de novo* review in this case, has fully considered the objections of 16 the Plaintiff, the pleadings and memoranda of the parties and other relevant matters of record pursuant 17 to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule IB 3-2. The Court determines that the Magistrate Judge's 18 Reports and Recommendation (ECF #66) entered on August 17, 2017, should be ADOPTED AND 19 ACCEPTED. 20 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendants' Motion For Summary Judgment (ECF No. 27)is 21 GRANTED, EXCEPT as to the retaliation claim against Defendant Parks. 22 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court shall enter judgment accordingly. IT IS SO ORDERED this 20th day of September, 2017. 23 24 25 26 27

28

¹Refers to court's docket number.