UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

BETTY MESI et al.,

Plaintiffs,

VS.

SELECT PORTFOLIO SERVICING et al.,

Defendants.

3:16-cv-00065-RCJ-WGC

**ORDER** 

This case arises from a foreclosure of real property. On April 16, 2018, the Court dismissed this action with prejudice after finding it was duplicative of another previously-filed case: *Mesi v. U.S. Bank Nat'l Ass'n*, No. 3:15-cv-555-RCJ-WGC (filed Nov. 13, 2015). (Order, ECF No. 81.) On April 25, Plaintiffs filed a motion "for reversal of foreclosure and for sanctions." (Mot., ECF No. 82.) Then, on April 27, Plaintiffs filed a notice of appeal. On May 12, Plaintiff filed another motion, this time seeking to strike Defendants' responses to the motion for reversal of foreclosure. (Mot., ECF No. 90.) Finally, on June 26, the Ninth Circuit granted Plaintiffs' motion to proceed with their appeal in forma pauperis, acknowledged receipt of Plaintiffs' opening brief, and set a deadline for Defendants' answering briefs. (Order, ECF No. 91.)

As of now, the entirety of this case has been disposed and an appeal is currently pending. Therefore, the Court lacks jurisdiction to reach the merits of Plaintiffs' motions. "Once a notice

1	of appeal is filed, the district court is divested of jurisdiction over the matters being appealed."
2	Nat. Res. Def. Council, Inc. v. Sw. Marine Inc., 242 F.3d 1163, 1166 (9th Cir. 2001).
3	Accordingly, the motions must be denied for lack of jurisdiction.
4	CONCLUSION
5	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the motions (ECF Nos. 82, 90) are DENIED.
6	IT IS SO ORDERED. This 28th day of August, 2018.
7	
8	anea.
9	ROBERAC. JONES United States District Judge
10	Cinica State, District range
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	